arXiv:1110.6828v1 [math.NT] 31 Oct 2011

GROUP SCHEMES OF PERIOD 2

VICTOR ABRASHKIN

Abstract. We give an explicit construction of the antiequivalence of the category of finite flat commutative group schemes of period 2 defined over a valuation ring of a 2-adic field with algebraically closed residue field. This result extends the earlier author's approach to group schemes of period $p > 2$ from Proceedings LMS, 101, 2010, 207-259.

Introduction

0.1. **Basic notation.** Everywhere in the paper k is algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, K_{00} is the fraction field of the ring of Witt vectors $W(k)$ and $[K_0 : K_{00}] = e \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $O_0 = O_{K_0}$ be the valuation ring of K_0, π — a fixed uniformiser in K_0, $K = K_0(\pi)$, where $\pi^2 = \pi_0$, and $O = O_K$. We set $S = k[[t]]$ where t is a variable. Let $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S$ be such that $\sigma(s) = s^2$, $s \in S$. Denote by $\kappa_{SO} : S/t^{2e} \longrightarrow O/2O$ the rings isomorphism such that $\kappa|_k = \mathrm{id}$ and $\kappa_{SO} : t \bmod t^{2e} \mapsto \pi \bmod 2$.

For a natural number u, $\underline{i}$ denotes always a vector of length u with coordinates from the set $\{0, 1\}$ and $r(\underline{i})$ denotes the sum of these coordinates.

0.2. **Categories of group schemes.** Let R be a local ring of characteristic 0 with residue field k. Denote by Gr_R the category of finite flat commutative group schemes G over R such that $2\mathrm{id}_G = 0$.

Remind that $G = \mathrm{Spec}A(G)$, where $A(G)$ is a flat R-algebra of finite rank $|G|$ and the structure of group scheme on G is given via the R-algebra morphisms $e_G : A(G) \longrightarrow O$ (counit) and $\Delta_G : A(G) \longrightarrow A(G) \otimes_R A(G)$ (coaddition) satisfying standard axioms.

Denote by Gr_R^{et} and Gr_R^{mult} the full subcategories of etale and, resp., multiplicative group schemes. Then any $G \in \mathrm{Gr}_R$ has the maximal etale quotient $j^{et} : G \longrightarrow G^{et}$ and the maximal multiplicative subobject $i^{mult} : G^{mult} \longrightarrow G$.

Because k is algebraically closed any etale object in Gr_R is a product of finitely many copies of the constant etale group scheme of order 2, $(\mathbb{Z}/2)_O = \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/2, R)$. Similarly, any multiplicative group scheme in Gr_R is a product of finitely many copies of the constant multiplicative group scheme of order 2, $\mu_2 = \mathrm{Spec}R[\mathbb{Z}/2]$.

Date: Oct 31, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11S20, 14K10.

Key words and phrases. group schemes, local field.

Introduce the category Gr_R^* as follows. Its objects are the objects from Gr_R and for any $G_1, G_2 \in \mathrm{Gr}_R$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_R^*}(G_1, G_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_R}(G_1, G_2)/\mathcal{R}(G_1, G_2)$$

where $\mathcal{R}(G_1, G_2)$ consists of the morphisms

$$G_1 \xrightarrow{j^{et}} G_1^{et} \xrightarrow{f} G_2^{mult} \xrightarrow{i^{mult}} G_2$$

whith arbitrary $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_R}(G_1^{et}, G_2^{mult})$. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_R}((\mathbb{Z}/2)_R, \mu_{2,R})$ has only one non-trivial element given by the embedding of R-algebras

$$R[\mathbb{Z}/2] = R\bar{0} + R\bar{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/2, R) = R \oplus R$$

such that $\bar{0} \mapsto (1, 1)$ and $\bar{1} \mapsto (1, -1)$.

0.3. **Categories of filtered modules.** Let $\mathcal{MF}_S$ be the category of the triples (M^0, M^1, φ_1) such that $M^1 \subset M^0$ are S-modules and $\varphi_1 : M^1 \longrightarrow M^0$ is a σ-linear morphism of S-modules. The morphisms in $\mathcal{MF}_S$ are compatible morphisms of S-modules commuting with φ_1.

Denote by MF_S^e the full subcategory in $\mathcal{MF}_S$ consisting of the triples (M^0, M^1, φ_1) such that

- M^0 is a free S-module of finite rank;
- $M^1 \supset t^e M^0$;
- $\varphi_1(M^1)S = M^0$.

The full subcategory of etale filtered modules $\mathrm{MF}_S^{e,et}$ in MF_S^e consists of (M^0, M^1, φ_1) such that $M^1 = t^e M^0$. One can see easily that any $\mathcal{M} = (M^0, M^1, \varphi_1) \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ has a unique maximal etale subobject $i^{et} : \mathcal{M}^{et} = (M^{0,et}, t^e M^{0,et}, \varphi_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Suppose $\varphi_0 : M^0 \longrightarrow M^0$ is such that $\varphi_0(m) := \varphi_1(t^e m)$ for any $m \in M^0$. Then $\bar{M}^{0,et} := M^{0,et} \otimes_S k$ is the maximal k-submodule of $\bar{M}^0 := M^0 \otimes_S k$ such that φ_0 induces an invertible σ-linear automorphism on $\bar{M}^{0,et}$. Notice that i^{et} can be included into the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{et} \xrightarrow{i^{et}} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{loc} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{loc} = (M^{0,loc}, M^{1,loc}, \varphi_1) \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ and $\bar{M}^{0,loc} := M^{0,loc} \otimes_S k$ can be naturally indentified with the maximal k-submodule in $\bar{M}^0$ such that φ_0 induces its nilpotent endomorphism. Any etale filtered module is a direct sum of finitely many copies of $\mathcal{S}^{et} := (Sm, St^e m, \varphi_1) \in \mathrm{MF}_S^{e,et}$, where $\varphi_1(t^e m) = m$.

The full subcategory of multiplicative filtered modules $\mathrm{MF}_S^{e,mult}$ in MF_S^e consists of (M^0, M^1, φ_1) such that $M^1 = M^0$. Any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ has a unique maximal multiplicative quotient $j^{mult} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{mult}$. Introduce the morphism $\psi_0 : \bar{M}^0 \longrightarrow \bar{M}^0$ as follows: if $m \in M^1$ and $\varphi_1(m) = n \in M^0$ then $\psi_0(n \otimes 1) = m \otimes 1$. One can verify that ψ_0 is a well-defined σ^{-1}-linear morphism of k-modules and $\bar{M}^{0,mult} := M^{0,mult} \otimes_S k$ can be identified with the maximal k-submodule in $\bar{M}^0$

such that $\psi_0|_{\bar{M}^{0,mult}}$ is invertible. Note that j^{mult} can be included into the following short exact sequence in the category MF_S^e,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^u \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{j^{mult}} \mathcal{M}^{mult} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{M}^u = (M^{0,u}, M^{1,u}, \varphi_1) \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ and $\bar{M}^{0,u} := M^{0,u} \otimes_S k$ is the maximal k-submodule such that $\psi_0|_{\bar{M}^{0,u}}$ is nilpotent. Any multiplicative filtered module is a direct sum of finitely many copies of $\mathcal{S}^{mult} := (Sn, Sn, \varphi_1) \in \mathrm{MF}_S^{e,mult}$, where $\varphi_1(n) = n$.

Introduce the category MF_S^{e*} as follows. Its objects are the objects of MF_S^e and for any $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^{e*}}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^e}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)/R(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)$$

where $R(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)$ consists of the morphisms of MF_S^e of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \xrightarrow{j^{mult}} \mathcal{M}_1^{mult} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{M}_2^{et} \xrightarrow{i^{et}} \mathcal{M}_2$$

with arbitrary $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^e}(\mathcal{M}_1^{mult}, \mathcal{M}_2^{et})$.

Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^e}(\mathcal{S}^{mult}, \mathcal{S}^{et})$ has only one non-trivial morphism and it is given by the correspondence $n \mapsto t^{2e}m$.

0.4. **The main result.** In this paper we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 0.1. *There is an antiequivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{F}_{O_0}^{O*} : \mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}_S^{e*}$$

For $p > 2$, there is an antiequivalence of categories $\mathcal{F}_{O_0}^{O} : \mathrm{Gr}_{O_0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}_S^e$. This was proved by C.Breuil [2] and M.Kisin [4, 5] in a more general context of all p-group schemes. The proofs are obtained from the study of p-divisible groups and essentially use the crystalline Dieudonne theory which is built on a geometrical approach due to the Raynaud theorem about the existence of embedding of any p-divisible group into an abelian scheme. This approach has been generalized recently by W.Kim [3] and E.Lau [6] to the case $p = 2$. (Lau's result uses Zink's theory of displays and windows.)

On the other hand, an explicit and direct construction of the antiequivalence $\mathcal{F}_{O_0}^{O}$ in the case $p > 2$ was given by the author [1]. The above theorem extends that construction to the case $p = 2$. We should notice that this extension is very far from to be straightforward for the following reasons.

First, when relating group schemes over $O_0 \subset O$ and filtered S-modules we use the identification of rings S/t^{2e} and $O/2$. But when working modulo 2 we can't control quite efficcently all morphisms in the category Gr_O, e.g. the both elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_O}((\mathbb{Z}/2)_O, \mu_{2,O})$ coincide modulo $2O$. This explains why we use the quotient categories Gr_O^* and MF_O^{e*}. On the other hand, the above example represents essentially the only aspect we are loosing in our approach and Theorem 0.1 gives essentially complete information about the objects and morphisms of the category Gr_O.

Second, when working with odd prime numbers $p>2$ the ideal pO is considerably smaller than the maximal DP-ideal in O. If $p=2$ we have no such "safety margin" because $2O$ is already the maximal DP-ideal in O. The adjustment of methods of [1] to the case $p=2$ required a profound revision of all constructions used in there, especially the proof of the surjectivity of the functor $\mathcal{G}_{O_0}^O$. In particular, the so-called Main Lemma was restated in a more precise form and provided with an essential elaboration. As a result, all main features of our approach from [1] were preserved in the case $p=2$. Roughly speaking, when considering the case $p>2$ it is enough to use only first two terms of the expansion of the Lubin-Tate logarithm $l_{LT}(x)\approx x+x^p/p$ but when $p=2$ we need to be more precise $l_{LT}(x)\approx x+x^2/2+x^4/4$.

Finally, notice that all applications developed in [1]: a criterion for the Galois module to come from the Galois module of geometric points of $G\in \mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}$, the relation between group schemes from Gr_{O_0} and Faltings's strict modules in characteristic 2 and an explicit description of the duality in Gr_{O_0} can be done along the lines of the approach from [1] in the case $p=2$ as well.

1. CONSTRUCTION OF THE FUNCTOR $\mathcal{G}_O:\mathrm{MF}_S^e\longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_O$

We use all notation and assumptions from Introduction, in particular, the definition of the category MF_S^e and the corresponding properties of its objects. Remind also that we fixed an identification $\kappa_{SO}: S/t^{2e}S\simeq O/2O$ such that $\kappa_{SO}|_k=\mathrm{id}$ and $\kappa_{SO}(t \bmod t^{2e})=\pi \bmod 2$.

1.1. φ_1-lifts.

Suppose $\mathcal{M}=(M^0,M^1,\varphi_1)\in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$, $\mathcal{N}=(N^0,N^1,\varphi_1)\in \mathcal{MF}_S$ and $\theta=(\theta^0,\theta^1)\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$.

Definition. a) θ is a *φ_1-lift* if θ^0,θ^1 are epimorphic and $\mathrm{Ker}\theta^0=\mathrm{Ker}\theta^1:=T$;

b) φ_1-lift θ is *nilpotent* if $\varphi_1|_T$ is topologically nilpotent;

c) φ_1-lift θ is *special* if there is a submodule T_1 of T such that $T=T_1+T\cap t^{2e}N^{0,et}$, where $\varphi_1(T_1)\subset T_1$ and $\varphi_1|_{T_1}$ is topologically nilpotent.

With above notation suppose $\theta\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ is a φ_1-lift and let $\mathrm{Ker}\theta=(T,T,\varphi_1|_T)$. Consider $\bar T=T/tT$ with the natural structure of a k-vector space and denote by $\bar T^{nil}$ and $\bar T^{inv}$ the subspaces in $\bar T$ such that $\varphi_1|_T$ induces a nilpotent σ-linear morphism on $\bar T^{nil}$ and an invertible σ-linear morphism on $\bar T^{inv}$. The proof of the following Lemma is quite straightforward.

Lemma 1.1. *Let* $\mathcal{M}_1=(M_1^0,M_1^1,\varphi_1)\in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$. *Then:*
a) *for any* $\bar f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{N})$, *the set* $\mathcal{L}(\bar f)$ *consisting of all* $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^e}(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M})$ *such that* $\bar f=f\circ\theta$ *is not empty;*
b) *if* $f_1,f_2\in\mathcal{L}(\bar f)$ *then* f_1-f_2 *factors through* $j^{mult}:\mathcal{M}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_1^{mult}$ *and if* $(f_1-f_2)(M_1^0)\bmod tT\subset \bar T^{nil}$ *then* $f_1=f_2$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let* $\theta\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ *be a* φ_1*-lift.*

a) If θ *is nilpotent then* $\mathcal{M}$ *is defined uniquely by* $\mathcal{N}$ *up to a unique isomorphism in the category* MF_S^e;

b) If θ *is special then* $\mathcal{M}$ *is defined uniquely by* $\mathcal{N}$ *up to a unique isomorphism in the category* MF_S^{e*}.

1.2. **Extension of scalars.** Let $S'=S[t']$, where $t'^2=t$. If $\mathcal{M}=(M^0,M^1,\varphi_1)\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$ then $\mathcal{M}\otimes_S S':=(M^0\otimes_S S',M^1\otimes_S S',\varphi_1\otimes\sigma)\in\mathrm{MF}_{S'}^{2e}$. (Here $\sigma(s)=s^2$ for any $s\in S'$.)

If $\mathcal{M}'=(M'^0,M'^1,\varphi_1')\in\mathrm{MF}_{S'}^{2e}$ then $\varphi_1'(M'^1)=\{\varphi_1'(m)\mid m\in M'^0\}$ has a natural structure of S-module and coincides with M^0 if $\mathcal{M}'=\mathcal{M}\otimes_S S'$. This fact implies easily the following criterion of the existence of a descent of $\mathcal{M}'$ to S.

Proposition 1.3. *If* $\mathcal{M}'=(M'^0,M'^1,\varphi_1')\in\mathrm{MF}_{S'}^{2e}$ *then the following two properties are equivalent:*

a) $\mathcal{M}=(M^0,M^1,\varphi_1)\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$ *is such that* $\mathcal{M}'=\mathcal{M}\otimes_S S'$;

b) *if* $M^0=\varphi_1'(M'^1)$, $M^1=M'^1\cap M^0$ *and* $\varphi_1=\varphi_1'|_{M^1}$ *then* $\mathcal{M}=(M^0,M^1,\varphi_1)\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$.

1.3. **The category of augmented** O**-algebras** Aug_O**.** Let Aug_O be the category of augmented O-algebras $\mathcal{B}=(B,I_B)$ such that B is a flat O-algebra of finite rank and I_B is an ideal of B such that $O\simeq B/I_B$ via the natural map $o\mapsto o\cdot 1_B$, $o\in O$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{B}^{et}$ the subobject $(B^{et},I_{B^{et}})\in\mathrm{Aug}_O$ such that B^{et} is the maximal etale subalgebra of B and $I_{B^{et}}=B^{et}\cap I_B$.

With above notation let I_B^{loc} be the ideal of topologically nilpotent elements of I_B. Clearly, $I_B^{loc}\cap I_{B^{et}}=\pi I_{B^{et}}$. Denote by $I_B(2)$ the ideal of all $b\in I_B$ such that $b^2\in 2I_B$ and by $I_B(2)^{loc}$ — the ideal of all $b\in I_B$ such that $b^2\in 2I_B^{loc}$. Clearly, $I_B(2)=I_B(2)^{loc}+\pi^eI_{B^{et}}$.

Let $J_B=I_B(2)^2+\pi^eI_B(2)$. Then $J_B=\widetilde{J}_B+2I_{B^{et}}$, where $\widetilde{J}_B=I_B(2)^{loc}I_B(2)+\pi^eI_B(2)^{loc}$. Notice that J_B is provided with the standard DP-structure by the map $b\mapsto -b^2/2$, $b\in J_B$, and $\widetilde{J}_B$ is the maximal ideal in J_B where this DP-structure is topologically nilpotent.

We shall denote by Aug_O^* the following category. Its objects are the objects of the category Aug_O and for any $\mathcal{B}_1,\mathcal{B}_2\in\mathrm{Aug}_O$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O^*}(\mathcal{B}_1,\mathcal{B}_2)=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{B}_1,\mathcal{B}_2)/R,$$

where R is the following equivalence relation:

if $f_1, f_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ *then* $f_1 \underset{R}{\sim} f_2$ *iff* $f_1 \equiv f_2 \,\mathrm{mod}\, J_{B_2}$.

1.4. **Families of augmented** O**-algebras** $\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{M})$**,** $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$**.** Suppose $\mathcal{M} = (M^0, M^1, \varphi_1) \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ and the vector $\bar m^1 = (m_1^1, \dots, m_u^1)$ is such that its coordinates form an S-basis of M^1. One can verify that $\bar m^1$ can be chosen in such way that the following two conditions $C1$ and $C2$ are satisfied:

$C1$: *the non-zero images of all* m_i^1*,* $1 \le i \le u$*, in* M^0/tM^0 *are linearly independent over* k;

$C2$: $\bar m^1 = (\bar m^{1,loc}, t^e \bar m^{et})$ *where*
a) the coordinates of $\bar m^{et}$ *form an* S*-basis of* $M^{0,et}$ *and* $\varphi_1(t^e \bar m^{et}) = \bar m^{et}$*;*
b) if $\bar m^{loc} = \varphi_1(\bar m^{1,loc})$ *then the coordinates of* $\bar m^{loc} \,\mathrm{mod}\, t$ *form a basis of* $\bar M^{0,loc} = M^{0,loc} \otimes_S k$ *over* k*.*

Let $\bar m^0 = (\bar m^{loc}, \bar m^{et})$. Then the coordinates of $\bar m^0$ form an S-basis of M^0. Denote by U the $(n \times n)$-matrix with coefficients in S such that $\bar m^1 = \bar m^0 U$. By condition $C1$, for appropriate S-matrices U_1 and U_2, it holds $\bar m^{1,loc} = \bar m^{loc} U_1 + \bar m^{et}(tU_2)$.

The above chosen data: the vectors $\bar m^0, \bar m^1$ and the matrix $U \in M_u(S)$ — completely describe the structure of $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$. Choose $C \in M_u(O)$ such that $C \,\mathrm{mod}\, 2 = U \,\mathrm{mod}\, t^{2e}$ with respect to the identification κ_{SO}. Define the O-algebra $A = O[\bar X]/\mathcal{I}_A$, where $\bar X = (X_1, \dots, X_u)$, $\mathcal{I}_A = \mathcal{I}_{A,K} \cap O[\bar X]$ and $\mathcal{I}_{A,K}$ is the ideal in $K[\bar X]$ generated by the coordinates of the vector $(-1/2)(\bar X C)^{(2)} - \bar X$. (For any matrix $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij})$ we set $\alpha^{(2)} := (\alpha_{ij}^2)$.)

Proposition 1.4. *With above notation* A *is a flat algebra of rank* 2^n *over* O*.*

Proof. Indeed, it can be deduced from condition $C1$ (similarly to Lemma 2.2.2 from [1]) that $C^{(2)}$ divides the scalar matrix $2I_u$ in $M_u(O)$. (Note that C divides $\pi^e I_u$.) This implies that the ideal $\mathcal{I}_A$ is generated by the coordinates of the vector $\bar X^{(2)} - 2(\bar X + \bar V){C^{(2)}}^{-1}$, where $\bar V$ consists of O-linear combinations of $X_i X_j$, $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant u$. Therefore, there is an isomorphism of O-modules

$$A \simeq \oplus_{0 \leqslant i_1, \dots, i_u \le 1} O X_1^{i_1} \dots X_u^{i_u} \tag{1.1}$$

and A is flat over O. □

For the above introduced algebra A, denote by I_A the ideal in A generated by the images of $X_1, \dots, X_u$. Then $(A, I_A) \in \mathrm{Aug}_O$.

Definition. Denote by $\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{M})$ the family of all augmented algebras $(A, I_A) \in \mathrm{Aug}_O$ obtained via the above procedure for all choices of $\bar m^1$

(which satisfy the conditions $C1$ and $C2$) and the corresponding matrix $C\in M_u(O)$.

1.5. **The φ_1-lift $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}$.** Define the functor $\iota:\mathrm{Aug}_O\longrightarrow\mathcal{MF}_S$ via

$$(B,I_B)\mapsto(I_B/J_B,I_B(2)/J_B,\varphi_1)$$

where $(B,I_B)\in\mathrm{Aug}_O$, J_B was introduced in Subsection 1.3 and φ_1 is induced by the correspondences $b\mapsto -b^2/2$, $b\in I_B$.

For any $\mathcal{M}\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$ and $(A,I_A)\in\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{M})$, there is a canonical morphism $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}:\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\iota(A(\mathcal{M}))$ in $\mathcal{MF}_S$ such that $\bar m^0\mapsto\bar X\bmod J_A$ and $\bar m^1\mapsto\bar XC\bmod J_A$.

Proposition 1.5. *The above defined $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a special φ_1-lift.*

Proof. Consider $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}=\mathcal{M}\otimes_S S/t^{2e}\in\mathcal{MF}_S$. Clearly, the natural projection $\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a special φ_1-lift and $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the composition of this projection and a unique $\tilde\iota_{\mathcal{M}}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}},\iota_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}))$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}=(\widetilde{M}^0,\widetilde{M}^1,\varphi_1)$. Then

$$\widetilde{M}^0=\left\{\sum o_i\widetilde{X}_i\mid o_1,\dots,o_n\in O,\widetilde{X}_i=X_i\bmod 2I_A\right\}$$

$$\widetilde{M}^1=\left\{\sum o_i\widetilde{Y}_i\mid o_1,\dots,o_n\in O,(\widetilde{Y}_1,\dots,\widetilde{Y}_n)=(\widetilde{X}_1,\dots,\widetilde{X}_n)C\right\}$$

where the S-module structure is induced by the given O-module structure via the identification κ_{SO} and $\varphi_1:\widetilde{M}^1\longrightarrow\widetilde{M}^0$ is given via the correspondence $\sum o_i\widetilde{Y}_i\mapsto\sum o_i^2\widetilde{X}_i$.

Suppose $\tilde\iota_{\mathcal{M}}=(\tilde\iota^0_{\mathcal{M}},\tilde\iota^1_{\mathcal{M}})$. Then

$$\widetilde{T}^0:=\mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde\iota^0_{\mathcal{M}}=\left\{\sum o_i\widetilde{X}_i\mid\sum o_iX_i\in J_A\right\}$$

$$\widetilde{T}^1:=\mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde\iota^1_{\mathcal{M}}=\left\{\sum o_i\widetilde{Y}_i\mid\sum o_iY_i\in J_A\right\}$$

The proposition will be proved if we show $\widetilde{T}^0=\widetilde{T}^1$, $\varphi_1(\widetilde{T}^0)\subset\widetilde{T}^0$ and $\varphi_1|_{\widetilde{T}^0}$ is nilpotent.

Suppose $\tilde v=\sum o_i\widetilde{X}_i\in\widetilde{T}^0$. Then $\sum o_iX_i\in J_A\subset I_A(2)$ and $\sum_i o_i^2X_i^2\in 2I_A$. Let $(G'_1,\dots,G'_u)=2(\bar X+\bar V)(C^{(2)})^{-1}$, cf. the proof of Proposition 1.4. Then $\sum_i o_i^2G'_i\in 2I_A$ and due to the isomorphism (1.1) we can follow the linear terms to obtain that

$$2(o_1^2,\dots,o_u^2)(C^{(2)})^{-1}:=2(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in 2O^u \tag{1.2}$$

Clearly, there are $\alpha'_1,\dots,\alpha'_u\in O$ such that all $\alpha'^2_i\equiv\alpha_i\bmod 2O$ and (1.2) implies that

$$(o_1,\dots,o_u)\equiv(\alpha'_1,\dots,\alpha'_u)C\bmod\pi^e.$$

Therefore, $\sum_i o_i\widetilde{X}_i$ is congruent modulo $\pi^e\widetilde{M}^0$ to an O-linear combination of the coordinates of the vector $(\widetilde{Y}_1,\dots,\widetilde{Y}_u)=(\widetilde{X}_1,\dots,\widetilde{X}_u)C$. In other words, $\tilde v\in\widetilde{M}^1$, i.e. $\widetilde{T}^0=\widetilde{T}^1$.

If $\tilde{v}=\sum o_i'\widetilde{Y}_i$, then

$$\varphi_1(\widetilde{v})=\sum o_i'^2\widetilde{X}_i=-\widetilde{v}^2/2+\sum_{i,j}o_i'o_j'\widetilde{Y}_i\widetilde{Y}_j\in J_A \operatorname{mod} 2I_A$$

implies $\varphi_1(\widetilde{T}^0)\subset\widetilde{T}^0$. (Use that J_A is a DP-ideal and $I_A(2)^2\subset J_A$.)

Finally, let $\tilde{v}_0=\tilde{v}$ and for $n\geqslant 0$, $\tilde{v}_{n+1}=\varphi_1(\tilde{v}_n)$. We must prove that for $n\gg 0$, $\tilde{v}_n=0$.

Let $A'=O[Y_1,\dots,Y_n]$. Then A' is an O-subalgebra in A given by the equations

$$(Y_1^2,\dots,Y_n^2)+(Y_1,\dots,Y_n)(2C^{-1})=0.$$

Therefore, any element $a\in A'$ can be uniquely presented in the form

$$a=\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\dots<i_s\leqslant u}o_{i_1\dots i_s}(a)Y_{i_1}\dots Y_{i_s},$$

where all $o_{i_1\dots i_s}(a)\in O$. Set $\mathcal{L}(a)=o_1(a)Y_1+\dots+o_u(a)Y_u$. Notice that if $I_{A'}$ is the augmentation ideal of A' generated by $Y_1,\dots,Y_u$ and $a\in I_{A'}^2$ then all $o_i(a)\equiv 0 \operatorname{mod}\pi^e$ (use that $2C^{-1}\equiv 0\operatorname{mod}\pi^e$). This means that if $a_1,a_2\in I_{A'}$ and $a_1\equiv a_2\operatorname{mod} I_{A'}^2$ then $\mathcal{L}(a_1)\equiv\mathcal{L}(a_2)\operatorname{mod}\pi^eI_{A'}$.

With above notation let $v_0=\sum o_iX_i$ and for all $n\geqslant 0$, $v_{n+1}=-v_n^2/2$. Clearly, all $v_n\in J_A$ and there is an $N_0\geqslant 0$ such that $v_{N_0}\in 2I_A$.

For $n\geqslant 0$, set $v_n^*=\mathcal{L}(v_n)$ and denote by $\rho: A'\longrightarrow A/2$ the composition of the natural inclusion A' into A and the reduction map $A\longrightarrow A/2$.

Lemma 1.6. *$\rho(v_n^*)\equiv\tilde{v}_n\operatorname{mod}(\pi^e\widetilde{M}^1)$.*

Proof of Lemma. Use induction on $n\geqslant 0$.

Clearly, $v_0=v_0^*$ and $\rho(v_0)=\tilde{v}_0$.

Suppose $\rho(v_n^*)\equiv\tilde{v}_n\operatorname{mod}(\pi^e\widetilde{M}^1)$.

If $v_n^*=\sum o_i^{(n)}Y_i$, where all $o_i^{(n)}\in O$, then $v_n=\sum o_i^{(n)}Y_i+\alpha$ with $\alpha\in I_{A'}^2$. Therefore,

$$v_{n+1}\equiv -v_n^2/2\equiv\sum o_i^{(n)2}X_i\operatorname{mod} I_{A'}^2$$

$$v_{n+1}^*\equiv\sum o_i^{(n)2}X_i\operatorname{mod}\pi^eI_{A'}$$

and $\rho(v_{n+1}^*)\equiv\varphi_1(\rho(v_n^*))\equiv\varphi_1(\tilde{v}_n)\operatorname{mod}(\pi^e\widetilde{M}^1)$. The lemma is proved. □

Finally, the above lemma implies that $\tilde{v}_{N_0}\in\pi^e\widetilde{M}^1$ and, therefore, $\tilde{v}_{N_0+1}=\varphi_1(\tilde{v}_{N_0})\in\pi^{2e}\widetilde{M}^0=0$. The proposition is proved.

□

1.6. **The maps Θ^* and Ψ^*.** Suppose $\mathcal{B}=(B,I_B)\in\mathrm{Aug}_O$ and $\mathcal{B}^{et}=(B^{et},I_{B^{et}})$ is the maximal etale subalgebra in $\mathcal{B}$. Then $J_{B^{et}}=2I_{B^{et}}$ and $\iota(\mathcal{B}^{et})=(I_{B^{et}}/2I_{B^{et}},\pi^eI_{B^{et}}/2I_{B^{et}},\varphi_1)\in\mathcal{MF}_S$ admits a (unique) special φ_1-lift $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{B}^{et})\in\mathrm{MF}_S^{e,et}$.

Introduce $\mathrm{m}(\mathcal{B}^{et})=(2I_{B^{et}}/2\pi I_{B^{et}},2I_{B^{et}}/2\pi I_{B^{et}},\varphi_1)\in\mathcal{MF}_S$, where φ_1 is induced (as usually) by the map $a\mapsto -a^2/2$, $a\in 2I_{B^{et}}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{m}(\mathcal{B}^{et})$ admits a (unique) nilpotent φ_1-lift $M(\mathcal{B}^{et})\in\mathrm{MF}_S^{e,mult}$ and the identity morphism on $I_{B^{et}}$ induces the natural morphism

$$\omega(\mathcal{B}^{et}):M(\mathcal{B}^{et})\longrightarrow\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{B}^{et})$$

in the category MF_S^{e}.

Suppose $\mathcal{M}=(M^0,M^1,\varphi_1)\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$ and $\mathcal{A}=(A,I_A)\in\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{M})$. Introduce the map

$$\Theta:\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M},\iota(\mathcal{B}))$$

by attaching to $F\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ the morphism of filtered modules $\Theta(F)=\iota_{\mathcal{M}}\circ\iota(F)$. (We always use the rule of composition $(f\circ g)(x)=g(f(x))$.)

Proposition 1.7. *With the above notation:*
a) Θ *is surjective;*
b) *if either* $\mathcal{B}^{et}=\mathcal{S}^{et}$ *or* $\mathcal{M}^{mult}=0$ *then* θ *is bijective;*
c) *there is a natural strict action of the group* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^e}(\mathcal{M}^{mult},\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{B}^{et}))$ *on* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ *and the corresponding equivalence relation R coincides with the equivalence relation from the definition of* Aug_O^* *in Subsection* 1.3*;*
d) Θ *induces the bijection* $\Theta^*:\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O^*}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M},\iota(\mathcal{B}))$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{A}=(A,I_A)\in\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{M})$ is given via a special choice of vectors $\bar m^0$ and $\bar m^1$ with the coordinates in M^0 and, resp., M^1, and the matrix $C\in M_u(O)$ from Subsection 1.4.

Lemma 1.8. *Suppose $\bar b^0\in I_B^u$ is such that $(-1/2)(\bar b^0C)^{(2)}\equiv\bar b^0\bmod J_B$. Let $\mathcal{L}(\bar b^0)$ be the set of all $\bar b\in I_B^u$ such that $\bar b\equiv\bar b^0\bmod\widetilde{J}_B$ and it holds $(-1/2)(\bar bC)^{(2)}\equiv\bar b\bmod\widetilde{J}_B$. Then*

a) $\mathcal{L}(\bar b^0)\neq\emptyset$*;*

b) *if $\bar b_1,\bar b_2\in\mathcal{L}(\bar b^0)$ then $\bar x=\bar b_1-\bar b_2\in J_B^u$ and $(-1/2)(\bar xC)^{(2)}\equiv\bar x\bmod\widetilde{J}_B$.*

Proof of Lemma. The vector $\bar b=\bar b^0+\bar x\in\mathcal{L}(\bar b^0)$ iff $\bar x\in J_B^u$ and

$$(-1/2)(\bar xC)^{(2)}-\bar x\equiv\bar b^0+(1/2)(\bar b^0C)^{(2)}\bmod\widetilde{J}_B.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{V}=(J_B/\widetilde{J}_B)^n$ has a natural structure of a finite dimensional vector space over k and the correspondence $\bar x\mapsto(-1/2)(\bar xC)^{(2)}$ induces a σ-linear morphism $\tilde\varphi:\mathcal{V}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}$. By an elementary result of σ-linear algebra, $\tilde\varphi-\mathrm{id}:\mathcal{V}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}$ is surjective (use that k is algebraically closed). This proves the part a). The part b) follows easily from the congruence $(\bar b_1C)^{(2)}\equiv(\bar b_2C)^{(2)}+(\bar xC)^{(2)}\bmod 2J_BI_B(2)$. $\square$

Notice that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M},\iota(\mathcal{B}))=$

$$\{\bar b^0 \,\mathrm{mod}\, J_B \mid \bar b^0\in I_B^u, (-1/2)(\bar b^0C)^{(2)}\equiv \bar b^0 \,\mathrm{mod}\, J_B\},$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})=\{\bar b\in I_B^u \mid (-1/2)(\bar bC)^{(2)}=\bar b\}$$

$$=\{\bar b \,\mathrm{mod}\, \widetilde{J}_B \mid \bar b\in I_B^u, (-1/2)(\bar bC)^{(2)}\equiv \bar b \,\mathrm{mod}\, \widetilde{J}_B\}$$

and the map Θ is given via $\bar b \,\mathrm{mod}\, \widetilde{J}_B\mapsto \bar b^0 \,\mathrm{mod}\, J_B$.

Therefore, the part a) of Proposition 1.7 follows from the part a) of above Lemma. If $\bar x$ is the vector from the part b) of above Lemma and then the correspondence $\bar m\mapsto \bar x$ identifies

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^e}(\mathcal{M},M(\mathcal{B}^{et}))=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M}^{mult},M(\mathcal{B}^{et}))$$

with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M}^{mult},\mathrm{m}(\mathcal{B}^{et}))$. This implies the part b) of Proposition 1.7. With the above notation the correspondence $\bar b\mapsto \bar b+\bar x$ determines the action of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^e}(\mathcal{M}^{mult},\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{B}^{et}))$ on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$. One can easily verify that this action is strict and Θ induces the bijection of the corresponding quotient $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})/R$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M},\iota(\mathcal{B}))$. $\square$

Remark. a) By condition $C2$, $\bar m^0=(\bar m^{loc},\bar m^{et})$ and therefore we have the corresponding presentation $\bar x=(\bar x^{loc},\bar x^{et})$, where $\bar x$ is the vector from the part b) of Lemma 1.8. One can easily see that $\bar x^{et}=\bar 0$. In particular, the shifts by all above vectors $\bar x$ determine a strict action of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M}^{mult},\iota(\mathcal{B}))$ on $I_B^u \,\mathrm{mod}\, \widetilde{J}_B$.
b) One can easily see that if $\mathcal{M}\in\mathrm{MF}_S^{e,mult}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}^{et}$ then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\mathcal{M},E(\mathcal{B}^{et}));$$

Corollary 1.9. *If $\mathcal{B}\in\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{N})$ with $\mathcal{N}\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$ then the above identification Θ^* induces a functorial in both arguments embedding*

$$\Psi^*:\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^{*e}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O^*}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$$

and the correspondences $\mathcal{M}\mapsto\mathcal{A}$ (and $\mathcal{N}\mapsto\mathcal{B}$) induce a faithful functor $\Psi^*:\mathrm{MF}_S^{e*}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Aug}_O^*$.

1.7. **Group schemes** $\mathrm{Spec}A$, $(A,I_A)\in\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{M})$.

Suppose $\mathcal{M}\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$ and $\mathcal{A}=(A,I_A)\in\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{M})$ is given via a special choice of vectors $\bar m^0$, $\bar m^1$ and matrices $U\in M_u(S)$, $C\in M_u(O)$ under assumptions C_1 and C_2 from Subsection 1.4.

We can describe the structures of $\mathcal{M}\oplus\mathcal{M}$ and $A\otimes_O A$ via the S-basis $\bar m^0\oplus\{0\}$, $\{0\}\oplus\bar m^0$ for $M^0\oplus M^0$, the S-basis $\bar m^1\oplus\{0\},\{0\}\oplus\bar m^1$ for $M^1\oplus M^1$ and the corresponding matrices $\begin{pmatrix}U&0\\0&U\end{pmatrix}\in M_{2u}(S)$ and $\begin{pmatrix}C&0\\0&C\end{pmatrix}\in M_{2u}(O)$. (One can easily see that these data satisfy the assumptions $C1$ and $C2$ from Subsection 1.4.) Note that $A=$

$O[\bar{X}]/\mathcal{I}_A$, where the ideal $\mathcal{I}_A$ is generated by the coordinates of the vector $((\bar{X}C)^{(2)}+2\bar{X})C^{(2)^{-1}}$,

$$\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}=(A\otimes_O A, I_A\otimes_O A+A\otimes_O I_A)\in \mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{M})$$

and

$$A\otimes_O A=O[\bar{X}\otimes 1, 1\otimes\bar{X}]/(\mathcal{I}_A\otimes 1, 1\otimes\mathcal{I}_A).$$

Let $e: A\longrightarrow A/I_A=O$ be the natural projection and let $\Delta^*_{\mathcal{A}}=\Psi^*(\bigtriangledown)\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}^*_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A})$, where $\bigtriangledown:\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}\oplus\mathcal{M}$ is the class of the diagonal morphism in the category MF^{e*}_S.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of all $\Delta\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A})$ such that:

- $\Delta \bmod R=\Delta^*_{\mathcal{A}}$;
- $G=\mathrm{Spec}A$ becomes an object of the category Gr_O when provided with the counit e and the coaddition Δ.

Proposition 1.10. a) *$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}\neq\emptyset$;*

b) *If $\Delta_1,\Delta_2\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ then the corresponding coalgebra structures on $\mathcal{A}$ are transformed one into another via an automorphism $f\in\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{A})$ such that $f\underset{R}{\sim}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ (i.e. f and $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ coincide in the category Aug^*_O).*

Proof. Let $\bar{X}=(\bar{X}^{loc},\bar{X}^{et})$ with respect to the presentation $\bar{m}=(\bar{m}^{loc},\bar{m}^{et})$ from condition $C2$, cf. Subsection 1.2. For $\Delta\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, set

$$\Delta(\bar{X})=(\Delta(\bar{X}^{loc}),\Delta(\bar{X}^{et}))=\bar{X}\otimes 1+1\otimes\bar{X}+\bar{\jmath},$$

where $\bar{\jmath}=(\bar{\jmath}^{loc},\bar{\jmath}^{et})$.

Note that $\bar{\jmath}^{et}$ does not depend on a choice of $\Delta\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$. This implies that $G^{et}:=\mathrm{Spec}A^{et}\in\mathrm{Gr}^{et}_O$ when provided with the coaddition $\Delta^{et}=\Delta|_{A^{et}}$ and the counit $e^{et}=e|_{A^{et}}$. More explicitly, $A^{et}=O[\bar{X}^{et}]$ with the equations $\eta\bar{X}^{et(2)}\equiv\bar{X}^{et}\bmod 2I_{A^{et}}$, where $\eta=-\pi^{2e}/2\in O^*$, and $\Delta(\bar{X}^{et})\equiv\bar{X}^{et}\otimes 1+1\otimes\bar{X}^{et}\bmod 2I_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}$.

Let $G^{et}_k:=G^{et}\otimes_O k=\mathrm{Spec}A^{et}_k$. Remind that the k-module of symmetric Hochschild 2-cocycles $Z^2_{sym}(G^{et}_k)$ consists of symmetric $\gamma\in I_{A^{et}_k\otimes A^{et}_k}$ such that

$$(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\gamma+\gamma\otimes 1=1\otimes\gamma+(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\gamma.$$

The corresponding k-module of 2-coboundaries equals

$$B^2(G^{et}_k)=\{\Delta^{et}(\gamma)-\gamma\otimes 1-1\otimes\gamma\mid\gamma\in I_{A^{et}_k}\}\subset Z^2_{sym}(G^{et}_k)$$

We have the following two facts:

- Suppose $\gamma\in Z^2_{sym}(G^{et}_k)$ and $\mathrm{mult}: A^{et}_k\otimes A^{et}_k\longrightarrow A^{et}_k$ is the morphism of multiplication. Then $\gamma\in B^2(G^{et}_k)\ \Leftrightarrow\ \mathrm{mult}(\gamma)=0$.
- If $\bar{X}^{et}=(X^{et}_1,\dots,X^{et}_{u_{et}})$ then the elements $\delta^+(X^{et}_{i_1}\dots X^{et}_{i_s})\bmod\pi$, where $s\geqslant 2$, $1\leqslant i_1<\dots<i_s\leqslant u_{et}$ and $\delta^+=\Delta-\mathrm{id}\otimes 1-1\otimes\mathrm{id}$, form a k-basis of $B^2(G^{et}_k)$ and the correspondences $\delta^+(X^{et}_{i_1}\dots X^{et}_{i_s})\mapsto X^{et}_{i_1}\dots X^{et}_{i_s}$ determine the k-linear embedding $\omega: B^2(G^{et}_k)\longrightarrow I^{et}_A\bmod\pi$. Note that for any $\alpha\in B^2(G^{et}_k)$, $\alpha^2\in B^2(G^{et}_k)$ and $\omega(\alpha^2)=\omega(\alpha)^2$.

Now notice that Δ depends only on the residue

$$\bar{\jmath}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\widetilde{J}_{A\otimes A}\in J^u_{A\otimes A}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\widetilde{J}_{A\otimes A}=2I^u_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}\,\mathrm{mod}(2\pi I_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}})$$

Let $\bar{\jmath}=2\bar{\alpha}\,\mathrm{mod}\,2\pi I_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}$, where $\bar{\alpha}(\Delta)=(\bar{\alpha}^{loc}(\Delta),\bar{\alpha}^{et})\in I^u_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}$.

We have the following properties:

a) Δ defines a morphism of augmented algebras iff $(\bar{\alpha}C)^{(2)}+\bar{\alpha}$ has all its coordinates in $\pi I_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}$.

b) Δ determines a structure of commutative group scheme on $G=\mathrm{Spec}A$ iff $\bar{\alpha}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\pi I_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}$ has all its coordinates in $Z^2_{sym}(G^{et}_k)$.

c) $2\mathrm{id}_G=0$ iff $\bar{\alpha}^{loc}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\pi I_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}$ has all its coordinates in $B^2(G^{et}_k)$.

The proof of property a) uses the equations $(-1/2)(\bar{X}C)^{(2)}=\bar{X}$ for A, the property b) is equivalent to the axioms of coassociativity and cocommutativity for G. As for the property c), note that $\bar{0}=2\mathrm{id}_G(\bar{X})=\mathrm{mult}(\Delta(\bar{X}))=2\bar{X}+\mathrm{mult}(\bar{\jmath})$ and, therefore, $\mathrm{mult}\bar{\jmath}^{loc}$ has all its coordinates in $\widetilde{J}_{A\otimes A}$ or, equivalently, $\mathrm{mult}(\bar{\alpha}^{loc})\equiv 0\,\mathrm{mod}\,\pi I_{A^{et}}$.

Now we can finish the proof of Proposition 1.10.

Let $\Delta_0\in\Delta^*_{\mathcal{A}}$ be such that

$$\Delta_0(\bar{X}^{loc})\equiv\bar{X}^{loc}\otimes 1+1\otimes\bar{X}^{loc}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\widetilde{J}_{A\otimes A}.$$

This means that $\bar{\alpha}(\Delta_0)=(\bar{0},\bar{\alpha}^{et})$ and by the above properties a)-c), we have $\Delta_0\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Suppose $\Delta\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\bar{\alpha}(\Delta)=(\bar{\alpha}^{loc}(\Delta),\bar{\alpha}^{et})$. Let $\bar{\gamma}=(\gamma^{loc},\bar{0})\in I^n_{A^{et}}$ be such that $\delta^+(\bar{\gamma}^{loc}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\pi I_{A^{et}})=\bar{\alpha}^{loc}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\pi I_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}$. We can assume that $\bar{\gamma}^{loc}=\omega(\delta^+\bar{\alpha}^{loc})$ and, therefore, $(\bar{\gamma}C)^{(2)}+\bar{\gamma}$ has all its coordinates in $\pi I_{A^{et}}$. Therefore, there is a unique $F\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A})$ such that $F(\bar{X})\equiv\bar{X}+2\bar{\gamma}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\widetilde{J}_{A\otimes A}$. Clearly, $F\underset{R}{\sim}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in Aug^*_O.

In addition, $\Delta_0(\bar{F}(\bar{X}))\equiv\Delta_0(\bar{X}+2\bar{\gamma})\equiv$

$$(\bar{X}^{loc}\otimes 1+1\otimes\bar{X}^{loc}+2\Delta^{et}(\bar{\gamma}^{loc}),\Delta_0(\bar{X}^{et}))$$

$$\equiv(\bar{X}^{loc}\otimes 1+1\otimes\bar{X}^{loc}+2\bar{\jmath}^{loc}+2(\bar{\gamma}^{loc}\otimes 1+1\otimes\bar{\gamma}^{loc}),\Delta(\bar{X}^{et}))$$

$$\equiv(F\otimes F)(\Delta(\bar{X}))\,\mathrm{mod}\,\widetilde{J}_{A\otimes A}.$$

Therefore, $F\circ\Delta_0=\Delta\circ(F\otimes F)$. The Proposition is proved. □

1.8. **Functor $\mathcal{G}_O$.**

Proposition 1.11. *There is a functor $\mathcal{G}_O:\mathrm{MF}^{e*}_S\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^*_O$ such that its compositoon with the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Gr}^*_O\longrightarrow\mathrm{Aug}^*_O$ coincides with the functor Ψ^* from Corollary 1.9.*

Proof. For $i=1,2$ let

- $\mathcal{M}_i\in\mathrm{MF}^e_S$ with specially chosen vectors $\bar{m}^0_i$, $\bar{m}^1_i$ satisfying the conditions $C1$, $C2$ fom Subsection 1.4;
- $\mathcal{A}_i=(A_i,I_{A_i})$ be the corresponding augmented O-algebras with the coalgebra structures uniquely given by the coadditions $\Delta_i:A_i\longrightarrow$

$A_i\otimes A_i$ such that $\Delta_i\in\Psi^*(\bigtriangledown_i)$ (where $\bigtriangledown_i$ are the diagonal maps from $\mathcal{M}_i$ to $\mathcal{M}_i\oplus\mathcal{M}_i$) and $\Delta_i(\bar X_i^{loc})\equiv\bar X_i^{loc}\otimes 1+1\otimes\bar X_i^{loc}\operatorname{mod}\widetilde{J}_{A_i\otimes A_i}$.

Denote the corresponding group schemes $\operatorname{Spec}A_i=G_i\in\operatorname{Gr}_O$.

Suppose $f\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}_S}(\iota(\mathcal{M}_1),\iota(\mathcal{M}_2))=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^{e*}}(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2)$ and $F\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Aug}_O}(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2)$ is such that $F\in\Psi^*(f)$. Then

$$(F\otimes F)(\Delta_1(\bar X_1))-\Delta_2(F(\bar X_1))\in J_{A_2\otimes A_2}.$$

Let $\bar\alpha=(\bar\alpha^{loc},\bar\alpha^{et})$ be the vector with the coordinates in $I_{A_2^{et}\otimes A_2^{et}}$ such that

$$(F\otimes F)(\Delta_1(\bar X_1))-\Delta_2(F(\bar X_1))\equiv 2\bar\alpha\operatorname{mod}\widetilde{J}_{A_2\otimes A_2}.$$

Note that if $F^{et}:=F|_{A_1^{et}}$ then the congruence $(F^{et}\otimes F^{et})(\Delta_1(\bar X_1^{et}))\equiv\Delta_2(F^{et}(\bar X_1^{et}))\operatorname{mod}2A_2^{et}$ implies that $\bar\alpha^{et}=\bar 0$ and F^{et} induces a morphism of etale group schemes $G_2^{et}\longrightarrow G_1^{et}$.

Using that F is a morphism of augmented O-algebras we obtain that $(\bar\alpha C)^{(2)}+\bar\alpha$ has all coordinates in $\pi I_{A^{et}\otimes A^{et}}$.

One can verify easily that $\bar\alpha\operatorname{mod}\pi I_{A_2^{et}\otimes A_2^{et}}$ has all coordinates in $Z^2_{sym}(G_2^{et}\otimes k)$ and using that for $i=1,2$, $2\mathrm{id}_{G_i}=\Delta_{G_i}\circ\operatorname{mult}$ we obtain that $\bar\alpha\operatorname{mod}\pi I_{A_2^{et}\otimes A_2^{et}}$ has all coordinates in $B^2(G_2^{et}\otimes k)$.

Let $\bar\gamma=(\gamma^{loc},\bar 0)$ be the vector with coordinates in $I_{A_2^{et}}$ such that $\bar\gamma\operatorname{mod}\pi I_{A_2^{et}}=\omega(\bar\alpha\operatorname{mod}\pi I_{A_2^{et}\otimes A_2^{et}})$. Then

$$\Delta_2^{et}(\bar\gamma)\equiv\bar\gamma\otimes 1+1\otimes\bar\gamma+\bar\alpha\operatorname{mod}\pi I_{A_2^{et}\otimes A_2^{et}}$$

and $(\bar\gamma C)^{(2)}+\bar\gamma$ has all coordinates in $\pi I_{A_2^{et}}$. This implies that there is an $F'\in\mathrm{Aug}_O(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2)$ such that $F'(\bar X_1^{et})=F(\bar X_1^{et})$ and $F'(\bar X^{loc})\equiv F(\bar X_1^{loc})+2\bar\gamma\operatorname{mod}\widetilde{J}_{A_2\otimes A_2}$. Therefore,

$$(F'\otimes F')(\Delta_1(\bar X_1))\equiv\Delta_2(F'(\bar X_1))\operatorname{mod}\widetilde{J}_{A_2\otimes A_2},$$

and $\Delta_1\circ(F'\otimes F')=F'\circ\Delta_2$. This proves the existence of $F'\in\Psi^*(f)$ such that $\operatorname{Spec}F'\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_O}(G_2,G_1)$.

Similarly, one can verify that if $F''\in\Psi^*(f)$ is such that $\operatorname{Spec}(F'')\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_O}(G_2,G_1)$ then F' and F'' are equivalent in the category Gr_O^* with the obvious inverse statement. The proposition is proved. $\square$

1.9. **Full faithfulness of $\mathcal{G}_O$.** Suppose $\mathcal{N}=(N^0,N^1,\varphi_1)\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$ and $\mathcal{G}_O(\mathcal{N})=\operatorname{Spec}B$.

1.9.1. *Special construction of B.* Use the following special case of the construction of the O-algebra B from Subsection 1.4.

Let $n_1^1,\dots,n_u^1$ be an S-basis of N^1 such that there are $\tilde s_1,\dots,\tilde s_u\in S$ and an S-basis $n_1,\dots,n_u$ of N^0 such that for $1\leqslant i\leqslant u$, $n_i^1=\tilde s_in_i$. One can easily see that this choice of $\bar n^1=(n_1^1,\dots,n_u^1)$ can be made in such a way that the conditions $C1$ and $C2$ from Subsection 1.4. are satisfied. Also notice that all $\tilde s_i$ divide t^e.

Set $\bar n^0=\varphi_1(\bar n^1)$ and let the matrices $U\in M_u(S)$ and $U_0\in\mathrm{GL}_u(S)$ be such that $\bar n^1=\bar n^0U$ and $\bar n^0U_0=\bar n:=(n_1,\dots,n_u)$. Then $U=U_0U_1$, where $U_1 = (\tilde{s}_i\delta_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant u}$ is diagonal. Choose $\widetilde{\Omega} = (\tilde{\eta}'_i\delta_{ij})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant u} \in M_u(O)$ and $D \in \mathrm{GL}_u(O)$ such that $\kappa_{SO}(U_1 \bmod t^{2e}) = \widetilde{\Omega} \bmod 2$ and $\kappa_{SO}(U_0 \bmod t^{2e}) = D \bmod 2$. Then for $\bar{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_u)$, the O-algebra B is the quotient of $O[\bar{Y}] := O[Y_1, \dots, Y_u]$ by the ideal generated by the coordinates of the vector

$$\left((\bar{Y}D\widetilde{\Omega})^{(2)} + 2\bar{Y}\right)(D\widetilde{\Omega})^{(2)^{-1}}$$

Then in the new coordinates $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_u) := \bar{Y}D$, B is the quotient of $O[\bar{X}] := O[X_1, \dots, X_u]$ by the ideal generated by the elements

$$X_i^2 - \eta_i \sum_j X_j c_{ji}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant u,$$

Here $C = (c_{ij}) = D^{-1}$, and for all i, $\eta_i = -2/\tilde{\eta}'^2$. With this notation, the counit $e : B \longrightarrow O$ and the coaddition $\Delta : B \longrightarrow B \otimes_O B$ are uniquely recovered (in the category Gr^*_O) from the conditions $e(X_i) = 0$ and $\Delta(X_i) \equiv X_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_i \bmod J_{B\otimes B}$.

Remind that $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}^{loc}, \bar{X}^{et})$, where for $u_0 = \dim_k \bar{N}^{0,loc}$, $\bar{X}^{loc} = (X_1, \dots, X_{u_0})$ and $\bar{X}^{et} = (X_{u_0+1}, \dots, X_u)$. Then the condition $C2$ implies:

- for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u_0$, $X_i, X_i^2/\eta_i \in I_B^{loc}$;
- for $u_0 < i \leqslant u$, $\eta_i \in O^*$ and $X_i \in I_{B^{et}}$.

Therefore, the matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ has the following block structure $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_0 & 0 \\ C' & C^{et} \end{pmatrix}$, where $C_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_{u_0}(O)$, $C^{et} \in \mathrm{GL}_{u^{et}}(O)$ with $u^{et} := u - u_0$, $C' \equiv 0 \bmod \pi$. In particular,

- if $C = (c_{ij})$ and $D = C^{-1} = (d_{ij})$ then $c_{ij} \equiv d_{ij} \equiv 0 \bmod \pi$ if either $i \leqslant u_0 < j$ or $j \leqslant u_0 < i$.

Let $\delta^+ X_i = j_i \in I_{B\otimes B}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$. The coordinates of the vector $\bar{\jmath} = (\bar{\jmath}^{loc}, \bar{\jmath}^{et})$, where $\bar{\jmath}^{loc} = (j_1, \dots, j_{u_0})$ and $\bar{\jmath}^{et} = (j_{u_0+1}, \dots, j_u)$, appear as the solutions in $I_{B\otimes B}$ of the following system of equations with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$,

$$\sum_s j_s c_{si} = -\tilde{\eta}_i X_i \otimes X_i - (\tilde{\eta}_i X_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{\eta}_i X_i) j_i - \tilde{\eta}_i j_i^2/2, \tag{1.3}$$

The coordinates of $\bar{\jmath}^{et}$ are determined by these equations uniquely and belong to the ideal J_B. The coordinates of $\bar{\jmath}^{loc}$ are unique under the assumption that $j_1, \dots, j_{u_0} \in \widetilde{J}_B \subset J_B$.

Remark. One can easily verify that the above system of equations when considered modulo any DP-ideal $\widetilde{I}$ of B such that $\widetilde{I} \subset \widetilde{J}_B$ has a unique solution $\bar{\jmath} \bmod \widetilde{I}$ under the assumption that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u_0$, all $j_i \in \widetilde{J}_B$.

1.9.2. *The ideals $I_B(\alpha)$ and $I_B(\alpha)^{loc}$, $\alpha\in O$.* In the above construction of the O-algebra B, any $a\in I_B$ can be uniquely written as

$$a=\sum_{\underline{i}} o_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}=\sum_{\underline{i}} o_{\underline{i}}X_1^{i_1}\dots X_u^{i_u} \tag{1.4}$$

where (by our general agreement from Introduction) $\underline{i}=(i_1,\dots,i_u)$ is a non-zero vector with the coordinates $i_1,\dots,i_u\in\{0,1\}$, and all coefficients $o_{\underline{i}}=o_{\underline{i}}(a)$ belong to O.

Definition. For any $\alpha\in O$, set

a)$I_B(\alpha):=\{a\in I_B\mid \text{all } (o_{\underline{i}}(a)X^{\underline{i}})^2\in\alpha I_B\}$

b) $I_B(\alpha)^{loc}:=\{a\in I_B\mid \text{all } (o_{\underline{i}}(a)X^{\underline{i}})^2\in\alpha I_B^{loc}\}$.

Note that for any $1\leqslant i\leqslant u_0$, $X_i\in I_B(\eta_i)^{loc}$ but for $u_0<i\leqslant u$, $X_i\notin I_B(\eta_i)^{loc}=I_B^{loc}$. In addition, for arbitrary $\alpha\in O$, the O-modules $I_B(\alpha)$ and $I_B(\alpha)^{loc}$ depend generally on the above chosen special construction of B. Nevertheless, one can verify that:

- for any $\alpha\in O$, $I_B(\alpha)$ and $I_B(\alpha)^{loc}$ are ideals in B;
- for $\alpha|2$, it holds $I_B(\alpha)=\{a\in I_B\mid a^2\in\alpha I_B\}$ and $I_B(\alpha)^{loc}=\{a\in I_B\mid a^2\in\alpha I_B^{loc}\}$.

The above ideals $I=I_B(\alpha)$ or $I=I_B(\alpha)^{loc}$ satisfy the following property:

$$a=\sum_{\underline{i}} o_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}\in I \;\Leftrightarrow\; \forall\underline{i},\;\; o_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}\in I \tag{1.5}$$

We need below the corresponding property for the ideals J_B and $\widetilde{J}_B$.

Proposition 1.12. *The ideals J_B and $\widetilde{J}_B$ satisfy the property* 1.5.

Proof. Any element of J_B is a sum of "elementary" elements of the form $o_{\underline{i}_1}X^{\underline{i}_1}o_{\underline{i}_2}X^{\underline{i}_2}$ and $\pi^e o_{\underline{i}_1}X^{\underline{i}_1}$, where $o_{\underline{i}_1}X^{\underline{i}_1}, o_{\underline{i}_2}X^{\underline{i}_2}\in I_B(2)$. It will be sufficient to verify property (1.5) for such elementary elements.

If $a=\pi^e o_{\underline{i}_1}X^{\underline{i}_1}$ there is nothing to prove.

Suppose $a=o_{\underline{i}_1}X^{\underline{i}_1}o_{\underline{i}_2}X^{\underline{i}_2}$ and $\underline{i}_1=(i_{11},\dots,i_{u1})$, $\underline{i}_2=(i_{12},\dots,i_{u2})$. Use induction on the number $\nu=\nu(a)$ of $1\leqslant j\leqslant u$ such that $i_{j1}=i_{j2}=1$.

If $\nu(a)=0$ then $\underline{i}_1+\underline{i}_2=\underline{i}$ and there is nothing to prove. If $\nu(a)\geqslant 1$ and, say, $i_{j1}=i_{j2}=1$ use the identity $X_j^2=\eta_j'\cdot\eta_j'\sum_s X_sc_{sj}$ to rewrite a as a sum of elements with smaller ν's and, perhaps, elements of the form $\pi^eI_B(2)$.

The case of the ideal $\widetilde{J}_B$ can be considered similarly. □

Remark. For any $\alpha\in\bar{O}$ we shall denote below by $I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha)$ and $I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha)^{loc}$ similar ideals of the $\bar{O}$-algebra $\bar{B}=B\otimes_O\bar{O}$. Clearly, they also satisfy the above property (1.5).

1.9.3. *Recovering $\mathcal{N}$.*

Proposition 1.13. *If $\iota_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{N})=(\widetilde{N}^0,\widetilde{N}^1,\varphi_1)$ then*

$$\widetilde{N}^0=\{a \bmod J_B \mid a\in I_B, \delta^+(a)\in J_{B\otimes B}\}$$

Proof. Suppose $a=\sum_{\underline{i}} o_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}\in I_B$ and $\delta^+a\in J_{B\otimes B}$. Note that

$$\delta^+(a)\equiv\sum_{\underline{i}_1+\underline{i}_2=\underline{i}} o_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}_1}\otimes X^{\underline{i}_2} \bmod J_{B\otimes B}$$

Then Proposition 1.12 implies that all $o_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}_1}\otimes X^{\underline{i}_2}\in J_{B\otimes B}$ and, therefore, all $o_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}_1}\cdot X^{\underline{i}_2}\in J_B$. This means that all non-linear terms amongst $o_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}$ (i.e. the terms with $r(\underline{i})=i_1+\dots+i_u\geqslant 2$) belong to J_B. ☐

Using that the ideals J_B and $J_{B\otimes B}$ depend functorially on the group scheme $\mathcal{G}_O(\mathcal{N})$ (i.e. do not depend on a choice of the special construction in Subsection 1.9) we obtain the following property.

Corollary 1.14. *The functor $\mathcal{G}_O$ is fully faithful.*

In Subsection 3 we need the following version of Proposition 1.13.

Suppose $\bar{B}=B\otimes_O\bar{O}$ and $a=\sum_{\underline{i}}\bar{o}_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}\in I_{\bar{B}}$, where all $\bar{o}_{\underline{i}}\in\bar{O}$. Denote by $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$ the ideal in $\bar{B}$ generated by $\tilde{\eta}_iX_i\otimes X_i$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant u_0$, and all elements of $2I^{loc}_{\bar{B}\otimes\bar{B}}$. One can easily prove (use relation 1.3) that all $j_1,\dots,j_{u_0}$ belong to $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$.

Proposition 1.15. *If $\delta^+a\in\bar{\mathcal{J}}$ then all $\bar{o}_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}$ with $r(\underline{i})\geqslant 2$ belong to $2I^{loc}_{\bar{B}\otimes B}$.*

Proof. This is implied by the following observation: if $b\in I_{B\otimes B}$ is a monomial, $1\leqslant i\leqslant u_0$ and $b\tilde{\eta}_iX_i\otimes X_i$ contributes to the coefficient for a monomial $X^{\underline{i}_1}\otimes X^{\underline{i}_2}$ in $\delta^+(a)$ then b should be divisible either by $X_i\otimes 1$ or by $1\otimes X_i$. (Use that $\underline{i}_1$ and $\underline{i}_2$ have no common coordinates equal to 1.) Then $b\tilde{\eta}_iX_i\otimes X_i\in 2I^{loc}_{B\otimes B}$ because $\tilde{\eta}_iX_i^2\in 2I^{loc}_B$. ☐

2. FUNCTOR $\mathcal{G}^O_{O_0}$

In this section we prove that any $G\in\mathrm{Gr}_O$ from the image of $\mathcal{G}_O$ has a canonical descent to O_0, $G_0\in\mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}$. Therefore, the fully faithful functor $\mathcal{G}_O$ appears as the composition of the fully faithful functor $\mathcal{G}^O_{O_0}:\mathrm{MF}^{e*}_S\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^*_{O_0}$ with the extension of scalars $\mathrm{Gr}^*_{O_0}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^*_O$.

2.1. **The uniqueness of a descent to O_0.**

Proposition 2.1. a) *Suppose $G=\mathrm{Spec}A\in\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{G}_O$, $e:A\longrightarrow O$ is the counit and $I_A=\mathrm{Ker}e$. If there is an $(A_0,I_{A_0})\in\mathrm{Aug}_O$ such that $(A_0,I_{A_0})\otimes_{O_0}O=(A,I_A)$ then $G_0=\mathrm{Spec}A_0$ has a natural structure of an object of the category Gr_{O_0} such that $G_0\otimes_{O_0}O=G$;*

b) *Suppose* $G_0, H_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}$ *and* $G = G_0 \otimes_{O_0} O$ *and* $H = H_0 \otimes_{O_0} O$ *are in the image of* $\mathcal{G}_O$. *Then the natural map* $f \mapsto f \otimes_{O_0} O$ *induces the identification* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}}(G_0, H_0) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gr}_O}(G, H)$.

Proof. It will be sufficient to prove that $\Delta(I_{A_0}) \subset I_{A_0 \otimes A_0}$, where Δ is the coaddition on A.

Let $\mathrm{Gal}(K/K_0) = \{\mathrm{id}, \tau\}$ and $\Delta^{(\tau)} = (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ \Delta \circ \tau$ is the conjugate to Δ. In other words, if $b_1, \dots, b_u$ is the O_0-basis of I_{A_0} and for $1 \le i \le u$, $\Delta(b_i) = b_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b_i + \sum_{k,l} \alpha_{kl} b_k \otimes b_l$ with all $\alpha_{kl} \in O$, then $\Delta^{(\tau)}(b_i) = b_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b_i + \sum_{k,l} \tau(\alpha_{kl}) b_k \otimes b_l$. Using that all $\tau(\alpha_{kl}) \equiv \alpha_{kl} \bmod 2\pi O$, we conclude that for any $a \in I_A$, $\Delta^{(\tau)}(a) \equiv \Delta(a) \bmod \widetilde{J}_{A \otimes A}$. Therefore, by results of Subsection 1.6, $\Delta = \Delta^{(\tau)}$ and all $\alpha_{kl} \in O_0$. The part a) is proved.

The part b) follows by similar arguments. $\square$

2.2. The existence of a decent to O_0.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose* $G = \mathrm{Spec} A \in \mathrm{Im}\, \mathcal{G}_O$ *and* $I_A = \mathrm{Ker} e$, *where* $e : A \longrightarrow O$ *is the counit. Then there is an* $(A_0, I_{A_0}) \in \mathrm{Aug}_{O_0}$ *such that* $I_A = I_{A_0} \otimes_{O_0} O$.

Proof. Use induction on the order $|G|$ of G.

2.2.1. *The case* $|G| = 2$. Here $A = O[X]$, where $X^2 = \eta c X$ with $\eta \in O_0$, $\eta | 2$ and $c \in O^*$. Clearly, we can take $A_0 = O_0[c^{-1} X]$.

2.2.2. *Tame descent.* Suppose K_0' is a tamely ramified extension of K_0 of degree e_0. Let π_0' be a uniformising element of K_0' such that $\pi_0'^{e_0} = \pi_0$. Let $K' = K_0'(\pi')$, where $\pi'^2 = \pi_0'$. We can assume that $\pi'^{e_0} = \pi$. The field extensions K_0'/K_0 and K'/K are Galois, their Galois groups are cyclic of order e_0 and can be naturally identified. Denote by O_0' and O' the valuation rings of K_0' and, resp., K'.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $G \in \mathrm{Gr}_O$ *and* $G' = G \otimes_O O' \in \mathrm{Gr}_{O'}$. *Then:*
a) G *is in the image of* $\mathcal{G}_O$ *if and only if* G' *is in the image of* $\mathcal{G}_{O'}$;
b) G *admits a descent to* O_0 *if and only if* G' *admits a descent to* O_0'.

Proof. The proof is based on a straightforward application of the criterion of tamely ramified descent. In the case of O'-algebras this criterion can be stated as follows:

- *Suppose* A' *is a flat* O'*-algebra and* τ *is a generator of* $\mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)$. *Then the existence of a flat* O*-algebra* A *such that* $A' = A \otimes_O O'$ *is equivalent to the existence of a* τ*-linear automorphism* f *of* A' *such that* $f^{e_0} = \mathrm{id}_{A'}$ *and* $f \otimes_O k = \mathrm{id}_{A' \otimes k}$.

Then one can state a similar criterion for the objects of $\mathrm{MF}_{S'}^{e_0 e}$ where S' is a tamely ramified extension of S of degree e_0 and deduce the part a) from the fact that tame descent data for $G' = \mathcal{G}_{O'}(\mathcal{M}')$ induce tame descent data for $\mathcal{M}'$. Similarly, the part b) can be proved from the fact that tamely ramified descent data for G' induce tamely ramified descent data for G'_0 (use the uniqueness of G'_0 given by Prop 2.1). $\square$

2.2.3. *Lubin-Tate formal group law.* Consider the formal Lubin-Tate group law with the logarithm

$$l_{LT}(X) = X + X^2/2 + \dots + X^{2^n}/2^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Q}_2[[X]]$$

This means that for any 2-adic ring R its topological nilradical $\mathrm{m}(R)$ is provided with the structure of abelian group via the operation $[f]+[g] = [P(f,g)]$, where $f, g \in \mathrm{m}(R)$ and for indeterminantes X, Y,

$$P(X,Y) = l_{LT}^{-1}(l_{LT}(X) + l_{LT}(Y)) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[[X,Y]].$$

We have the following simple properties:

- $[f]+[g] = [P_0(f,g)] + \dots + [P_n(f,g)] + \dots$, where all $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_2[X,Y]$ and $\deg P_n = 2^n$. In particular, $P_0 = X+Y$, $P_1 = -XY$, $P_2 = -XY(X+Y)^2$;

- $-[f] = [-f] + [-f^2] + \dots + [-f^{2^n}] + \dots$

- $[2](f) := [f]+[f] \equiv [2f]+[f^2]+[-2f^2]+\dots+[-2f^{2^n}]+\dots \bmod 4\mathrm{m}(R)$.

2.2.4. *The case $|G| > 2$.* By replacing O by its suitable tamely ramified extension we can assume that $G = \mathcal{G}_O(\mathcal{M})$, where $\mathcal{M} = (M^0, M^1, \varphi_1) \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ is such that for $u \geqslant 1$:

- there is an S-basis $m^1, n_1^1, \dots, n_u^1$ of M^1 and an S-basis $m, n_1, \dots, n_u$ of M^0 such that $\varphi_1(m^1) = m$ and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$, there are $\tilde{s}_i \in S$, $\tilde{s}_i | t^e$ such that $n_i^1 = \tilde{s}_i n_i$, $\varphi_1(n_1^1) = \sum_j n_j u_{ji}$, where $(u_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_u(S)$;

- there is an $\tilde{s} \in S$, $\tilde{s}|t^e$ such that $m^1 = \tilde{s}m + \sum_i \alpha_i n_i$, where for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$, the coefficients $\alpha_i \in S$ and $t^e\tilde{s}^{-1}\alpha_i \equiv 0 \bmod \tilde{s}_i$.

The above conditions simply mean that $\mathcal{N} = (N^0, N^1, \varphi_1) \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$, where $N^0 = \sum_i Sn_i$, $N^1 = \sum_i Sn_i^1$ and there is a short exact sequence in MF_S^e

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{s}} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{s}} = (S\tilde{m}, S\tilde{m}^1, \varphi_1)$ with $\tilde{m}^1 = \tilde{s}\tilde{m}$ and $\varphi_1(\tilde{m}^1) = \tilde{m}$. We shall always assume that the above data for the structure of $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ satisfy the assumptions $C1$ and $C2$ from Subsection 1.2.

Note that in the above description of $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{MF}_S^e}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{s}}, \mathcal{N})$ we can replace m by $m' = m + v$ and m^1 by $m^{1\prime} = m^1 + v^1$, where $v \in N^0$, $v^1 \in N^1$ and $\varphi_1(v^1) = v$. Then $m^{1\prime} = \tilde{s}m' + \sum_i \alpha'_i n_i$, where

$$\sum_i \alpha'_i n_i = \sum_i \alpha_i n_i + v^1 - \tilde{s}\varphi_1(v^1).$$

In particular, if $\tilde{s}\in S^*$ we can always assume that

$$\sum_i \alpha_i n_i \in N^1 + tN^0 \tag{2.1}$$

In terms of the corresponding O-algebras we have:

- $A=A(G)$ contains the O-algebra $B=O[X_1,\dots,X_u]$, where $X_i^2=\eta_i\sum_j X_jc_{ji}$ with $\eta_i=-2/\tilde{\eta}_i'^2\in O_0$ and $\tilde{\eta}_i'\bmod 2=\kappa_{SO}(\tilde{s}_i\bmod t^{2e})$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant u$ and $C=(c_{ij})\in\mathrm{GL}_u(O)$;
- $A=B[Y]$, where $(\tilde{\eta}'Y+\sum_i r_iX_i)^2+2Y=0$ or, equivalently,

$$\left(Y+\tilde{\eta}'^{-1}\sum_i r_iX_i\right)^2-\eta Y=0 \tag{2.2}$$

with $\tilde{\eta}'\bmod 2=\kappa_{SO}(\tilde{s}\bmod t^{2e})$, $r_i\bmod 2=\kappa_{SO}(\alpha_i\bmod t^{2e})$ and

$$\eta r_i^2\equiv 0\bmod\tilde{\eta}_i \text{ (or, equivalently, } \eta_i r_i^2\equiv 0\bmod\tilde{\eta}) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $1\leqslant i\leqslant u$ (this follows from the above congruences $t^e\tilde{s}^{-1}\alpha_i\equiv 0\bmod\tilde{s}_i$).

Let $h=\sum_i r_iX_i\in I_B$. Then (2.3) implies that $h^2\in\tilde{\eta}I_B$. In particular, if $\tilde{\eta}\notin O_0^*$ then $h\in I_B^{loc}$. If $\tilde{\eta}\in O_0^*$ then (2.1) implies that again $h\in I_B^{loc}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\eta}'Y\in I_A^{loc}$.

By the inductive assumption, there is an augmented flat O_0-algebra (B_0,I_{B_0}) such that $I_B=I_{B_0}\otimes_{O_0}O$. Therefore, $h=b_0+\pi b_1$, where $b_0\in I^{loc}_{B_)}$ and $b_1\in I_{B_0}$.

From now on we use the Lubin-Tate group law. Clearly, there is $Y'\in I_A$ such that $[\tilde{\eta}Y']=[\tilde{\eta}Y+\tilde{\eta}'h]-[\tilde{\eta}'b_0]-[\tilde{\eta}'b_1]$. If $\tilde{\eta}\notin O_0^*$ then $Y'\equiv Y\bmod\pi I_A$ and if $\tilde{\eta}\in O_0^*$ then $Y'\equiv Y\bmod(YI_A^{loc}+\pi I_B^{loc})$. Therefore, $A=B[Y']$.

The equation for Y' can be found as follows. From (2.2) we obtain that $(\tilde{\eta}Y+\tilde{\eta}'h)^2=-2\tilde{\eta}Y$. Then using the properties of the Lubin-Tate group law from Subsection 2.2.3 we obtain:

$$[2](\tilde{\eta}Y')=[2(\tilde{\eta}Y+\tilde{\eta}'h)]+[-2\tilde{\eta}Y]-([2](\tilde{\eta}'b_0)+[2](\tilde{\eta}'\pi b_1))\equiv$$

$$-[\tilde{\eta}b_0^2]-[\tilde{\eta}\pi_0b_1^2]-\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\left[-2(\tilde{\eta}b_0^2)^{2^n}\right]-\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\left[-2(\tilde{\eta}\pi_0b_1^2)^{2^n}\right]\bmod 4(\tilde{\eta}I_A)^{loc}$$

Here $(\tilde{\eta}I_A)^{loc}$ coincides with $\tilde{\eta}I_A$ if $\tilde{\eta}\notin O_0^*$ and with I_A^{loc}, otherwise.

Notice that $h^2\in\tilde{\eta}I_B$ and, therefore, the right hand side of the above congruence equals $\tilde{\eta}^2b^*\in(\tilde{\eta}^2I_{B_0})^{loc}$. Using that $[2](2(\tilde{\eta}I_A)^{loc})=4(\tilde{\eta}I_A)^{loc}$ we can replace Y' by $Y_1=Y'-2a$ with a suitable $a\in I_A$ such that $[2](\tilde{\eta}Y_1)=\tilde{\eta}^2b^*$.

Finally, if $1+\tilde{\eta}Y_2=\exp(l_{LT}(\tilde{\eta}Y_1))$ then we still have $A=B[Y_2]$ and $(1+\tilde{\eta}Y_2)^2=\exp(l_{LT}(\tilde{\eta}^2b^*))$ implies that $Y_2^2-\eta Y_2=b_1^*$ with $b_1^*\in B_0$. So, for $A_0=B_0[Y_2]$, $A_0\otimes_{O_0}O=A$. □

3. Surjectivity of $\mathcal{G}_{O_0}^{O}$

In order to prove that $\mathcal{G}_{O_0}^{O}$ is an antiequivalence of the categories MF_S^e and Gr_{O_0} it remains only to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *If $G_0\in \mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}$ then there is an $\mathcal{M}\in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ such that $\mathcal{G}_O(\mathcal{M})\simeq G_0\otimes_{O_0}O$.*

The proof will be given in Subsections 3.1-3.11. It uses induction on the order $|G_0|$ of G_0.

3.1. **The case** $|G_0|=2$**.** If $|G_0|=2$ then there is an $\eta\in O_0$, $\eta|2$, such that $G_0=\mu_\eta$, where $\mu_\eta=\operatorname{Spec}O_0[X]$, $X^2=\eta X$, $e(X)=0$ and $\Delta(X)=X\otimes 1+1\otimes X+\tilde\eta X\otimes X$ with $\eta\tilde\eta=-2$. We can assume that $\tilde\eta=\pi_0^r$, $0\leqslant r\leqslant e$ (because $\mu_\eta\simeq\mu_{\eta'}$ iff $\eta^{-1}\eta'\in O_0^*$). Then for $\mathcal{M}=(Sm,St^rm,\varphi_1)\in \mathrm{MF}_S^e$ with $\varphi_1(t^rm)=m$, it holds $\mathcal{G}_O(\mathcal{M})=\mu_\eta\otimes_{O_0}O$.

3.2. **Strategy of the proof.** When studying the case $|G_0|>2$ we can replace O_0 by its tamely ramified extension, cf. Subsection 2.2.2. In particular, we can assume that in Gr_{O_0} there is a short exact sequence $0\longrightarrow\mu_\eta\longrightarrow G_0\longrightarrow H_0\longrightarrow 0$, where $H_0=\mathrm{Spec}B_0$, and $H=H_0\otimes_{O_0}O=\mathcal{G}_O(\mathcal{N})$ with $\mathcal{N}\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$.

Use the description of $B=B_0\otimes_{O_0}O$ from Subsection 1.9.1.

Namely, $B=O[X_1,\dots,X_u]$ with the relations $X_i^2=\eta_i\sum_j X_jc_{ji}$, where all $\eta_i\in O_0$, $\eta_i|2$, $C=(c_{ij})\in\mathrm{GL}_u(O)$, $e(X_i)=0$ and $j_i=\delta^+(X_i)=\Delta(X_i)-X_i\otimes 1-1\otimes X_i\in J_{B\otimes B}$. In addition, if $\bar X^{loc}=(X_1,\dots,X_{u_0})$ then $j_1,\dots,j_{u_0}\in\widetilde J_{B\otimes B}$. For $1\leqslant i\leqslant u$, let $\tilde\eta_i\in O_0$ be such that $\eta_i\tilde\eta_i=-2$. Because the original elements η_i are defined up to units of O_0 we can assume that all $\tilde\eta_i=\tilde\eta_i'^2$ with $\tilde\eta_i'\in O$. Our strategy is to use the explicit construction of $H=H_0\otimes_{O_0}O$ from Subsection 1.9.1 to describe $G=G_0\otimes_{O_0}O$ as an element of the group $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Gr}_O}(H,\mu_\eta\otimes_{O_0}O)$. If $O'=O[\pi']$ with $\pi'^2=\pi$, we shall prove then that $G'=G\otimes_O O'$ appears in the form $\mathcal{G}_{O'}(\mathcal{M}')$, where $\mathcal{M}'\in\mathrm{MF}_{S'}^{2e}$ with $S'=S[t']$, $t'^2=t$.

Finally, we shall prove that the fact that G' admits a descent G_0 to O_0 implies that $\mathcal{M}'$ admits a descent to S, i.e. $\mathcal{M}'=\mathcal{M}\otimes_S S'$ with $\mathcal{M}\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$. So, $G=\mathcal{G}_O(\mathcal{M})$ and $G_0=\mathcal{G}_{O_0}^{O}(\mathcal{M})$.

3.3. **The group** $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}}(H_0,\mu_\eta)$**,** [1]**.** Let $\bar O$ be the valution ring of an algebraic closure of $K'=K(\pi')$, $\bar B=B\otimes_O\bar O$ and $I_{\bar B}=I_B\otimes_O\bar O$.

Introduce the (multiplicative) group $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(H_0,\mu_\eta)$ of all elements $f\in(1+\tilde\eta I_{\bar B})^\times$ such that $f^2\in 1+\tilde\eta^2I_{B_0}$ and $\delta^\times(f):=\Delta(f)(f\otimes f)^{-1}\in 1+\tilde\eta I_{B_0\otimes B_0}$.

Then there is a group epimorphism $\Theta:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}}(H_0,\mu_\eta)$ attaching to $f\in\mathcal{H}$, the group scheme $\Theta(f)=\mathrm{Spec}A_0\in\mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}$ such that

- $A_0 = B_0[X]$ where $(1+\tilde{\eta}X)^2 = f^2$;
- $e(X)=0$ and $\Delta(1+\tilde{\eta}X) = (1+\tilde{\eta}X)\otimes(1+\tilde{\eta}X)\cdot\delta^\times f$;
- $\mathrm{Ker}\Theta = (1+\tilde{\eta}I_{B_0})^\times$.

Remark. This result was proved in [1] in a more general context of p-group schemes, where p is any prime number. The case $p=2$ is much easier to obtain.

Note that if $\tilde{\eta}\notin O^*$ (i.e. if μ_η is not multiplicative) then obviously $f\in 1+I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$. If $\tilde{\eta}\in O^*$ then we can always multiply f by a suitable element from $(1+I_{B^{et}})^\times\subset(1+I_B)^\times$ to assume again that $f\in 1+I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$. This allows us to replace in the above description of the group $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}}(H,\mu_\eta)$, the multiplicative group $(1+I_{\bar{B}})^\times$ by $\mathrm{m}(I_{\bar{B}}) = I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$ with the Lubin-Tate group law from Subsection 2.2.3.

More presicely, let $\delta_{LT}: I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}\longrightarrow I_{\bar{B}\otimes\bar{B}}^{loc}$ and $[2]: I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}\longrightarrow I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$ be such that for any $f\in I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$,

— $\delta_{LT}(f) = [\Delta(f)]-[f\otimes 1]-[1\otimes f]$;

— $[2](f) = [f]+[f]$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{LT} = \mathcal{H}_{LT}(H_0,\mu_\eta)$ be the subgroup of $I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$ (with respect to the Lubin-Tate group law) consisting of $f\in I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$ such that $[2](f)\in\tilde{\eta}^2 I_{B_0}$ and $\delta_{LT}(f)\in\tilde{\eta}I_{B_0\otimes B_0}$. Let $\Theta_{LT}:\mathcal{H}_{LT}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Gr}_O}(H,\mu_\eta)$ be such that for all $f\in\mathcal{H}_{LT}$, $\Theta_{LT}(f) = \Theta(E(f))$, where $E(X) = \exp(l_{LT}(X))$ is the Artin-Hasse exponential. The above mentioned description of the elements of the group $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Gr}_{O_0}}(H_0,\mu_\eta)$ will be used below in the form of the following statement.

Proposition 3.2. *Θ_{LT} is a group epimorphism and its kernel equals $\mathcal{H}_{LT}\cap(\tilde{\eta}I_{B_0}) = (\tilde{\eta}I_{B_0})^{loc}$.*

3.4. **Main Lemma.** In next subsections we shall work systematically with the Lubin-Tate group law from Subsection 2.2.3. We always bear in mind the following agreement: if, say, $a\in I_B$ appears in the form $[a]$ then a is assummed automatically to be an element of $I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$, that is the corresponding result of the Lubin-Tate addition is automatically well-defined.

Remind that we use the multi-indices $\underline{i} = (i_1,\dots,i_u)$, where all coordinates of the vector $\underline{i}$ belong to $\{0,1\}$. We shall use this indices for the abbreviation $X^{\underline{i}} := X_1^{i_1}\dots X_u^{i_u}$ especially when $r(\underline{i}) := i_1+\dots+i_u\geqslant 2$. If $r(\underline{i})=1$ then this multi-index $\underline{i}$ appears just as the index j, $1\leqslant j\leqslant u$, such that $\underline{i} = (\delta_{1j},\dots,\delta_{uj})$ (where δ is the Kronecker symbol).

The following statement is very similar to the statement appeared in [Ab] as the Main Lemma.

Lemma 3.3 (Main Lemma). *If $f\in\mathcal{H}_{LT} = \mathcal{H}_{LT}(B_0,\mu_\eta)$ then there are:*

— *$f_0 \in \tilde{\eta} I_B$;*
— *for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$, $o'_{i0} \in O$ and $o'_{i\underline{1}} \in \pi' O$;*
— *for all multi-indices $\underline{i}$, $D_{\underline{i}} \in \bar{O}$,*

such that $o'^2_{i0}, o'^2_{i1} \in \tilde{\eta} O$, $D_i X_i \in I_{\bar{B}}(2)^{loc}$, $D_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}} \in I^{loc}_{\bar{B}}$ and

$$f = [f_0] + \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant u} ([o'_{i0} X_i] + [o'_{i1} X_i] + [D_i X_i]) + \left[2 \sum_{r(\underline{i}) \geqslant 2} D_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}} \right]$$

Remark. If $\tilde{\eta} \in O^*$ we can always assume that all $o'_{i0} = 0$, i.e. all $o'_{i0} X_i$ disappear by contributing to f_0.

The proof of this Lemma will be given in Subsection 3.6 below. This is a simplified version of the proof of the Main Lemma from the paper [Ab], where we studied group schemes of period $p > 2$. As a matter of fact this simplified version works equally well also in the case $p > 2$.

3.5. **Auxiliary statements.** The following lemmas admit straightforward proofs and are quite analogous to the lemmas from [1].

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $C_1, \dots, C_u \in \bar{O}$, $g \in I^{loc}_{\bar{B}}$, $\beta_0 \in \bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $g = \sum_i [C_i X_i] \operatorname{mod} I_{\bar{B}}(\beta_0)^{loc}$. Then*

$$g = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant u} [C'_i X_i] + \left[\sum_{r(\underline{i}) \geqslant 2} C'_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}} \right]$$

with all $C'_i, C'_{\underline{i}} \in \bar{O}$, $C_i X_i \equiv C'_i X_i \operatorname{mod} I_{\bar{B}}(\beta_0)^{loc}$ and $C_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}} \in I_{\bar{B}}(\beta_0)^{loc}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $l \in \{0, 1\}$.*

a) *If $o'_1, o'_2 \in \pi'^l O$ are such that $o'^2_1, o'^2_2 \in \tilde{\eta} O$, then for any $a \in I_B$, $[o'_1 a] + [o'_2 a] - [(o'_1 + o'_2) a] \in \tilde{\eta} I_B$;*

b) *If $o' \in \pi'^l O$, $o'^2 \in \tilde{\eta} O$ and $a_1, a_2 \in I_B$ then $[o' a_1] + [o' a_2] - [o'(a_1 + a_2)] \in \tilde{\eta} I_B$.*

Remark. With the notation from above Lemma 3.5 note the following special cases:

- $[o' a] + [-o' a] \in \tilde{\eta} I_B$;
- $[\delta_{LT}(o' X_i)] - [o' j_i] \in \tilde{\eta} I_{B \otimes B}$.

Lemma 3.6. *If $C \in \bar{O}$, $\alpha_1 \in \bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $C X_i \in I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_1)^{loc}$ then*

$$\delta_{LT}(C X_i) \equiv C^2 X_i \otimes X_i \operatorname{mod} I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc},$$

where $\alpha_2 \in \bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ is such that $(\alpha_2) = (\alpha_1^2, 2)$.

Proof. From the definition of the Lubin-Tate group law it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \delta_{LT}(C X_i) = [C j_i] - [-C^2 (X_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_i) j_i] - [-C^2 X_i \otimes X_i] \\ - \sum_{n \geqslant 2} [C^{2^n} P_n (X_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_i, j_i)] - \sum_{n \geqslant 2} [C^{2^n} P_n (X_i \otimes 1, 1 \otimes X_i)] \end{aligned}$$

Now note that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u_0$, $j_i \in \widetilde{J}_B \subset I_{\bar{B}}(4)^{loc} \subset I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$ and if $u_0 < i \leqslant u$ then $C \in \bar{\mathrm{m}}$ and again $Cj_i \in I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$. Therefore, the first, second and forth terms of the right-hand side of (3.1) belong to $I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$. As for the last term of that formula it remains to note that $\left(I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_1)^{loc}\right)^4 \subset I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$. $\square$

3.6. **Proof of the Main Lemma.** Prove that for any $\alpha \in \bar{\mathrm{m}}$ such that $\alpha | 2$, it holds

$$f \equiv [f_\alpha] + \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant u} ([o'_{i0}X_i] + [o'_{i1}X_i] + [D_iX_i]) \bmod I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha^2)^{loc} \tag{3.2}$$

with

- $f_\alpha \in \tilde{\eta} I_B$;

- all $o'_{i0} = o'_{i0}(\alpha) \in O$ and $o'_{i1} = o'_{i1}(\alpha) \in \pi' O$ such that $o'^2_{i0}, o'^2_{i1} \in \tilde{\eta} O$;
(If $\tilde{\eta} \in O_0^*$ then we can assume that all $o'_{i0} = 0$.)

- all $D_i \in \bar{O}$ are such that $D_iX_i \in I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha)^{loc}$.

First, there is an $\alpha_0 \in \mathrm{m}$, $\alpha_0 | 2$, such that our formula holds with $\alpha = \alpha_0$. Indeed, if $\tilde{\eta} \notin O_0^*$ then use that $f^2 \equiv [2](f) \equiv 0 \bmod \tilde{\eta} I_{\bar{B}}$; otherwise, use that $[f] - [f_0] \in \bar{\mathrm{m}} I_{\bar{B}}$, where $f_0 \in I_B$ and $f \equiv f_0 \bmod \bar{\mathrm{m}} I_{\bar{B}}$.

By induction on α it will be sufficient to prove that if (3.2) holds with $\alpha = \alpha_1 \in \bar{\mathrm{m}}$ then it also holds with $\alpha = \alpha_2$ such that $(\alpha_2) = (\alpha_1^2, 2)$.

Apply Lemma 3.4 to obtain

$$f = [f_{\alpha_1}] + \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant u} ([o'_{i0}X_i] + [o'_{i1}X_i] + [D'_iX_i]) + \left[\sum_{r(\underline{i}) \geqslant 2} D'_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}}\right],$$

where all $D'_i, D'_{\underline{i}} \in \bar{O}$, $D'_iX_i \in I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_1)^{loc}$ and $D'_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}} \in I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_1^2)^{loc}$.

The condition $\delta_{LT}(f) \in \tilde{\eta} I_{B_0 \otimes B_0} \subset \tilde{\eta} I_{B \otimes B}$ implies (use Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6) that

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant u} D_i^2 X_i \otimes X_i + \sum_{\underline{i}_1 + \underline{i}_2 = \underline{i}} D'_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}_1} \otimes X^{\underline{i}_2} \in \tilde{\eta} I_{B \otimes B} \bmod I_{\bar{B} \otimes \bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc} \tag{3.3}$$

(Recall that all multi-indices $\underline{i}$, $\underline{i}_1$, $\underline{i}_2$ are non-zero vectors with coordinates 0 or 1.)

This implies the following properties:

a) all $D'^2_i X_i \otimes X_i \equiv o_i X_i \otimes X_i \bmod I_{\bar{B} \otimes \bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$ with $o_i \in \tilde{\eta} O$;

b) all $D'_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}} \equiv o_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}} \bmod I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$ with $o_{\underline{i}} \in \tilde{\eta} O$.

The property b) implies that the last summand in (3.3) disappers modulo $I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$ by contributing to the corresponding $f_{\alpha_2} \in \tilde{\eta} I_B$.

As for the property a), consider the elements $o''_{i0} \in O$ and $o''_{i1} \in \pi' O$ such that $o_i \equiv o''^2_{i0} + o''^2_{i1} \bmod 2 o_i \pi'$ and $o''^2_{i0}, o''^2_{i1} \equiv 0 \bmod o_i$.

Lemma 3.7. $[D'_iX_i]+[-o''_{i0}X_i]+[-o''_{i1}X_i]\equiv\sum_j[D'_{ij}X_j]\,\mathrm{mod}\,I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$, *where all* $D'_{ij}\in\bar O$ *and* $D'_{ij}X_j\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$.

Proof. Suppose $1\leqslant i\leqslant u_0$. In this case $X_i^2\in I_B(\eta_i)^{loc}$ and the property $(D_i'^2-o_i)X_i\otimes X_i\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$ means that $(D_i'^2-o_i)\eta_i\in\alpha_2\bar O$. Therefore, $(D'_i-o''_{i0}-o''_{i1})^2\eta_i\in\alpha_2\bar O$, i.e. $(D'_i-o''_{\underline{i0}}-o''_{i1})X_i\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2)^{loc}$. Also, $0\equiv D_i'^2\eta_i\equiv o_i\eta_i\equiv o_{i0}''^2\eta_i\equiv o_{i1}''^2\eta_i\,\mathrm{mod}\,\alpha_1\bar O$ i.e. $o''_{i0}X_i, o''_{i1}X_i\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_1)^{loc}$.

Suppose $u_0<i\leqslant u$. In this case $\eta_i\in O^*$, $D_i\in\bar{\mathrm{m}}$ and $X_i, X_i^2\in I_B^{et}$. The relation $(D_i'^2-o_i)X_i\otimes X_i\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$ means that $(D_i'^2-o_i)\eta_i\in\alpha_2\bar{\mathrm{m}}$, $D_i'^2\eta_i\equiv(o_{i0}''^2+o_{i1}''^2)\eta_i\,\mathrm{mod}\,\alpha_2\bar{\mathrm{m}}$, $(D'_i-o''_{i0}-o''_{i1})^2\eta_i\in\alpha_2\bar{\mathrm{m}}$ and again $(D_i-o''_{i0}-o''_{i1})X_i\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$. Similarly, $D'_iX_i\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_1)^{loc}$ means that $0\equiv D_i'^2\eta_i\equiv o_i\eta_i\equiv o_{i0}''^2\eta_i\equiv o_{i1}''^2\eta_i\,\mathrm{mod}\,\alpha_1\bar{\mathrm{m}}$, i.e. $o''_{i0}X_i, o''_{i1}X_i\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_1)^{loc}$.

Now for any $1\leqslant i\leqslant u$,

$$[D'_iX_i]+[-o''_{i0}X_i]+[-o''_{i1}X_i]\equiv[(D'_i-o''_{i0}-o''_{i1})X_i]$$

$$-[D'_io''_{i0}X_i^2]-[D'_io''_{i1}X_i^2]-[-o''_{i0}o''_{i1}X_i^2]\,\mathrm{mod}\,I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$$

where all terms in the right-hand side belong to $\left(I_{\bar B}(\alpha_1)^{loc}\right)^2\subset I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2)^{loc}$. Thus the right-hand side is congruent modulo $I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2^2)^{loc}$ to $\sum_j[D'_{ij}X_j]$ with all $D'_{ij}\in\bar O$ and $D'_{ij}X_j\in I_{\bar B}(\alpha_2)^{loc}$. Lemma 3.7 is proved. □

Finally, we finish the proof of formula (3.2) by noting that

$$\sum_i([o'_{i0}X_i]+[o'_{i1}X_i])-\sum_i([-o''_{i0}X_i]+[-o''_{i1}X_i])=$$

$$[\tilde a]+\sum_i([(o'_{i0}+o''_{i0}X_i]+[(o'_{i1}+o''_{i1})X_i]),$$

where $\tilde a\in\tilde\eta I_B$.

Now use formula (3.2) with $\alpha=2$. Then

$$f=[f_2]+\sum_i([o'_{i0}X_i]+[o'_{i1}X_i]+D''_iX_i])+\left[\sum_{r(\underline{i}\geqslant 2}D''_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}\right],\tag{3.4}$$

where $f_2\in\tilde\eta I_B$, all $D''_i, D''_{\underline{i}}\in\bar O$, $D''_iX_i\in I_{\bar B}(2)^{loc}$ and $D''_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}\in I_{\bar B}(4)^{loc}$.

Let $\bar{\mathcal J}$ be the ideal in $\bar B\otimes_{\bar O}\bar B$ introduced in Subsection 1.9.3. Then $j_1,\dots,j_{u_0}\in\bar{\mathcal J}$, and $\bar{\mathrm{m}}j_{u_0+1},\dots,\bar{\mathrm{m}}j_u\subset\bar{\mathcal J}$ and the relation $\delta_{LT}(f)\in\tilde\eta I_{B\otimes B}$ implies that

$$\delta^+\left(\sum_{r(\underline{i})\geqslant 2}D''_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}}\right)=\sum_{\underline{i}_1+\underline{i}_2=\underline{i}}D''_{\underline{i}}X^{\underline{i}_1}\otimes X^{\underline{i}_2}\in\tilde\eta I_{B\otimes B}\,\mathrm{mod}\,\bar{\mathcal J}.\tag{3.5}$$

According to Proposition 1.11, in formula (3.5) all $D''_{\underline{i}} \equiv o_{\underline{i}} \bmod 2$, where $o_{\underline{i}} \in \tilde{\eta} O$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\underline{i}} D''_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}} \equiv [f'_0] + [2g_0] \bmod 2 I_{\bar{B}}(4)^{loc}$$

with $f'_0 \in \tilde{\eta} I_B$ and $g_0 \in I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$. Then an analogue of Lemma 3.4 finishes the proof of the Main Lemma.

3.7. **Elaboration of the Main Lemma.** Let $D = C^{-1} = (d_{ij})$ and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$,

$$R_i = \sum_{\substack{t \\ s_1 < s_2}} (X_{s_1} \otimes X_{s_2} + X_{s_2} \otimes X_{s_1}) c_{s_1 t} c_{s_2 t} d_{ti}^2 \in I_{B \otimes B}.$$

Then the property of the matrix C from Subsection 1.9.1 implies that all $\tilde{\eta}_i R_i \in I_{B \otimes B}^{loc}$. Indeed, if $\tilde{\eta}_i X_{s_1} \otimes X_{s_2} \notin I_{B \otimes B}^{loc}$ then $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u_0$ (because $\tilde{\eta}_i \in O^*$) and $u_0 < s_1, s_2 \leqslant u$ (because $X_{s_1}, X_{s_2} \notin I_B^{loc}$), but then $c_{s_1 t} d_{ti}, c_{s_2 t} d_{ti} \equiv 0 \bmod \pi$. Therefore, the system of congruences

$$\sum_s B_s c_{si} \equiv \tilde{\eta}_i (R_i + B_i^2) \bmod I_{B \otimes B}(4)^{loc}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant u, \tag{3.6}$$

has a unique solution $(B_1, \dots, B_u) \bmod I_{B \otimes B}(4)^{loc}$ with all $B_i \in I_{B \otimes B}^{loc}$.

We shall use below the following agreement: if $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$ and $\alpha \in O$ then $I_B(\alpha)^{(i)}$ will be equal to $I_B(\alpha)^{loc}$ if $i \leqslant u_0$ and to $I_B(\alpha)$ if $i > u_0$. Same agreement will be used for similar ideals $I_{B \otimes B}(\alpha)$, $I_{\bar{B}}(\alpha)$, etc.

Lemma 3.8. *With the above notation it holds*

$$j_i \equiv \sum_t \tilde{\eta}_t X_t \otimes X_t d_{ti} + 2B_i - \sum_{t,u} \tilde{\eta}_u (X_u \otimes X_u) d_{ut} (\tilde{\eta}_t X_t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{\eta} X_t) d_{ti}$$

$$-2 \sum_t (\tilde{\eta}_t X_t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{\eta} X_t) B_t d_{ti} \bmod I_{B \otimes B}(16)^{(i)}$$

Proof. It will be sufficient to verify by straightforward calculations that the congruences from our Lemma transform the congruences (3.6) into congruences obtained from equalities (1.3) by considering them modulo $I_{B \otimes B}(16)^{(i)}$. ☐

One can easily see that the above elements $B_i \in I_{B \otimes B}^{loc}$ appear in the form

$$B_i \equiv \sum_{s_1 < s_2} \gamma_{s_1 s_2 i} (X_{s_1} \otimes X_{s_2} + X_{s_2} \otimes X_{s_1}) \bmod I_{B \otimes B}(4)^{loc}$$

where all $\gamma_{s_1 s_2 i} \in O$. Introduce the elements

$$\widetilde{R}_i = \sum_{\substack{t \\ s_1 < s_2}} X_{s_1} X_{s_2} c_{s_1 t} c_{s_2 t} d_{ti}^2, \quad \widetilde{B}_i = \sum_{s_1 < s_2} \gamma_{s_1 s_2 i} X_{s_1} X_{s_2}$$

Then we have:

- $(\widetilde{B}_1,\dots,\widetilde{B}_u) \bmod I_B(4)^{loc}$ is the unique solution of the congruences $\sum_s \widetilde{B}_s c_{si} \equiv \tilde{\eta}_i(\widetilde{R}_i+\widetilde{B}_i^2) \bmod I_B(4)^{loc}$ in $I_B^{loc} \bmod I_B(4)^{loc}$;
- for all i, $\delta^+(\widetilde{B}_i) \equiv B_i \bmod I_{B\otimes B}(4)^{loc}$.

Now we can state the following elaboration of the Main Lemma.

Proposition 3.9. *In Lemma* 3.3 *(Main Lemma) the elements $D_i \in \bar{O}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$, and $g := \sum_{r(\underline{i})\geqslant 2} D_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}} \in I_{\bar{B}\otimes\bar{B}}$ can be taken in such a way that*

a) $D_t^2 + \sum_i (o'_{i0}+o'_{i1}+D_i)d_{ti}\tilde{\eta}_t \equiv \tilde{o}_t \bmod 2\tilde{\eta}\bar{\mathrm{m}}$, *where* $1 \leqslant t \leqslant u$ *and all* $\tilde{o}_t \in \tilde{\eta}O$*;*

b) $g \equiv \sum_i (o'_{i0}+o'_{i1}+D_i)\widetilde{B}_i \bmod I_{\bar{B}}(4)^{loc}$.

Remark. The congruence a) implies that:

- all $\tilde{o}_t \equiv 0 \bmod \tilde{\eta}_t$;
- if $u_0 < t \leqslant u$ then $\tilde{o}_t \equiv 0 \bmod \tilde{\eta}_t\pi$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{f} := [f]-[f_0]$. Then

$$\delta_{LT}(\tilde{f}) \equiv \sum_i (o'_{i0}+o'_{i1}+D_i)j_i + \sum_i D_i^2 X_i\otimes X_i$$

$$+\sum_i D_i^2(X_i\otimes 1+1\otimes X_i)j_i + 2\delta^+(g) \bmod I_{\bar{B}}(16)^{loc}$$

For $1 \leqslant t \leqslant u$, let $s(t) = \sum_i (o'_{i0}+o'_{i1}+D_i)d_{ti}\tilde{\eta}_t$. Then using the explicit formulas for the elements j_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$, modulo the ideals $I_{B\otimes B}(16)^{(i)}$ from Lemma 3.8 we obtain

$$\delta_{LT}(f) \equiv \sum_t (D_t^2+s(t))X_t\otimes X_t + 2\sum_t s(t)B_t +$$

$$\sum_t (D_t^2+s(t))(X_t\otimes 1+1\otimes X_t)\left(2B_t+\sum_u d_{ut}\tilde{\eta}_u X_u\otimes X_u\right) \bmod I_{\bar{B}\otimes\bar{B}}(16)^{loc}$$

Following the coefficients for $X_t\otimes X_t$, $1 \leqslant t \leqslant u$, we obtain that

$$(D_t^2+s(t))X_t\otimes X_t \in \tilde{\eta} I_{B\otimes B} \bmod I_{\bar{B}\otimes\bar{B}}(16)^{loc}.$$

This proves the part a) of the proposition.

The remaining terms of the relation $\delta_{LT}(\tilde{f}) \in \tilde{\eta} I_{B\otimes B} \bmod I_{\bar{B}\otimes\bar{B}}(16)^{loc}$ give

$$\sum_i (o'_{i0}+o'_{i1}+D_i)B_i + \delta^+(g) \in I_{B\otimes B} \bmod I_{\bar{B}\otimes\bar{B}}(4)^{loc}$$

Therefore, $h = \sum_i (o'_{i0}+o'_{i1}+D_i)\widetilde{B}_i + g$ is of the form $\sum_{r(\underline{i})\geqslant 2} C_{\underline{i}} X^{\underline{i}}$ and the relation $\delta^+ h \in I_{B\otimes B} \bmod I_{\bar{B}}(4)^{loc}$ implies that $h \equiv h_0 \bmod I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}(4)$ with $h_0 \in I_B$. Therefore, by replacing f_0 by $f_0 - 2h_0$ we obtain the property b). $\square$

3.8. **Explicit calculation of** $l_{LT}(f)$**.** As earlier, $f \in \mathcal{H}_{LT} = \mathcal{H}_{LT}(H_0, \mu_\eta)$ is given via the formula from the Main Lemma where $D_1, \dots, D_u \in \bar{O}$ and $g \in I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$ satisfy Proposition 3.9. We also set $\tilde{f} = [f] - [f_0]$, $L_{\bar{B}} = \sum_i \bar{O} X_i$, $L_{\bar{B}}^{loc} = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant u_0} \bar{O} X_i + \sum_{u_0 < i \leqslant u} \bar{\mathrm{m}} X_i$ and define

$$(\tilde{\eta} L_{\bar{B}})^{loc} = \begin{cases} L_{\bar{B}} & \text{if } \tilde{\eta} \notin O^* \\ L_{\bar{B}}^{loc} & \text{if } \tilde{\eta} \in O^* \end{cases} \qquad (\tilde{\eta} I_{\bar{B}})^{loc} = \begin{cases} I_{\bar{B}} & \text{if } \tilde{\eta} \notin O^* \\ I_{\bar{B}}^{loc} & \text{if } \tilde{\eta} \in O^* \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.10. *For* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$*, consider* $\tilde{o}_i \in \tilde{O}$ *from Proposition* 3.9 *and let* $o'_{i2} \in O$ *and* $o'_{i3} \in \pi' O$ *be such that* $\tilde{o}_i \equiv o'^2_{i2} + o'^2_{i3} \bmod 2\tilde{\eta}\pi' O'$ *and* $o'^2_{i2}, o'^2_{i3} \in \tilde{o}_i O'$*. Then*

$$l_{LT}(\tilde{f}) \equiv -\sum_{i,l} o'_{il} X_i + \sum_{i,l} l_{LT}(o'_{il} X_i) \bmod ((\tilde{\eta} L_{\bar{B}})^{loc} + 2(\tilde{\eta} I_{\bar{B}})^{loc}).$$

(In both sums $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$ *and* $0 \leqslant l \leqslant 3$*.)*

Proof. First, note that (use that all $D_i X_i \in I_{\bar{B}}(2)^{loc}$)

$$l_{LT}(D_i X_i) \equiv D_i X_i + \frac{D_i^2 X_i^2}{2} + \left(\frac{D_i^2 X_i^2}{2} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{D_i^2 X_i^2}{2} \right)^4 \bmod 4 I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$$

Rewrite property a) of Proposition 3.9 in the following form

$$\frac{D_i^2}{\tilde{\eta}_i} = \frac{\tilde{o}_{i0}^2}{\tilde{\eta}_i} + \frac{\tilde{o}_{i1}^2}{\tilde{\eta}_i} - \sum_s (o'_{s0} + o'_{s1} + D_s) d_{is} + \tilde{\eta} A_i$$

with $A_i \in \eta \bar{\mathrm{m}} \subset \bar{\mathrm{m}}$. Multiplying this equality by $\sum_u X_u c_{ui} = X_i^2 / \eta_i$ deduce that

$$\sum_i \frac{D_i^2 X_i^2}{2} \equiv \sum_i \left(\frac{\tilde{o}_{i0}^2 X_i^2}{2} + \frac{\tilde{o}_{i1}^2 X_i^2}{2} \right) - \sum_s (o'_{s0} + o'_{s1} + D_s) X_s \bmod \tilde{\eta} L_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$$

Note that:

- for any i, $\left(\sum_u X_u c_{ui}\right)^2 \in L_{\bar{B}} + 2 I_{\bar{B}}$;
- for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u_0$, $\left(\sum_u X_u c_{ui}\right)^2 \in L_{\bar{B}}^{loc} + 2 I_{\bar{B}}^{loc}$;
- for any i, $\left(\tilde{o}_{i0}^2/\tilde{\eta}_i + \tilde{o}_{i1}^2/\tilde{\eta}_i - \sum_s (o'_{i0} + o'_{i1} + D_s) d_{is} + \tilde{\eta} A_i\right)^2$ is congruent to $\left(o'^2_{i2}/\tilde{\eta}_i\right)^2 + \left(o'^2_{i3}/\tilde{\eta}_i\right)^2 + \sum_s D_s^2 d_{is}^2$ modulo $\tilde{\eta} \bar{O}$; (Use that o'^2_{i2} and o'^2_{i3} are divisible by $\tilde{\eta}_i$.), cf. Remark to Proposition 3.9;
- for any $u_0 < i \leqslant u$, $\left(\tilde{o}_{i0}^2/\tilde{\eta}_i + \tilde{o}_{i1}^2/\tilde{\eta}_i - \sum_s (o'_{i0} + o'_{i1} + D_s) d_{is} + \tilde{\eta} A_i\right)^2$ and $\left(o'^2_{i2}/\tilde{\eta}_i\right)^2 + \left(o'^2_{i3}/\tilde{\eta}_i\right)^2 + \sum_s D_s^2 d_{is}^2$ are congruent modulo $\bar{\mathrm{m}}$; (Use that all $o'_{il} \in \bar{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_i \in O^*$.), cf. Remark to Proposition 3.9.

This gives

$$\left(\frac{D_i^2 X_i^2}{2} \right)^2 \equiv \left(\frac{\tilde{o}_{i0}^2}{\tilde{\eta}_i} + \frac{\tilde{o}_{i1}^2}{\tilde{\eta}_i} - \sum_s (o'_{i0} + o'_{i1} + D_s) d_{is} + \tilde{\eta} A_i \right)^2 \left(\sum_u X_u c_{ui} \right)^2$$

$$\equiv \left(\frac{o_{i2}'^2X_i^2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{o_{i3}'^2X_i^2}{2}\right)^2 + 2\sum_{\substack{s\\u_1<u_2}} D_s^2d_{is}^2X_{u_1}X_{u_2}c_{u_1i}c_{u_2i}$$

modulo $(\tilde\eta L_{\bar B})^{loc}+2(\tilde\eta I_{\bar B})^{loc}$, because

$$\sum_{i,s,u} D_s^2d_{is}^2X_u^2c_{ui}^2 \equiv \sum_s D_s^2X_s^2 \equiv 0 \operatorname{mod} 2L_{\bar B}.$$

Note also that

$$2\left(\frac{D_i^2X_i^2}{2}\right)^4 = 2\left(\frac{D_i^2\eta_i2}{2}\right)^4\left(\sum_s X_s^2c_{si}^2 + 2\sum_{s_1<s_2} X_{s_1}X_{s_2}c_{s_1i}c_{s_2i}\right)^2$$

belongs to $2L_{\bar B}+4I_{\bar B}\subset(\tilde\eta L_{\bar B})^{loc}+2(\tilde\eta I_{\bar B})^{loc}$.

Finally,

$$\sum_i l_{LT}(D_iX_i) \equiv -\sum_i(o_{i0}'+o_{i1}')X_i + \sum_{i,l}\frac{o_{il}'^2X_i^2}{2} + 2\sum_s D_s^2\widetilde{R}_s \equiv$$

$$-\sum_{i,l}o_{il}'X_i + \sum_i l_{LT}([o_{i2}'X_i]+[o_{i3}'X_i]) + 2\sum_s D_s^2\widetilde{R}_s$$

modulo $(\tilde\eta L_{\bar B})^{loc}+2(\tilde\eta I_{\bar B})^{loc}$ because all $2(\tilde o_{i0}^2X_i^2/2)^4$ and $2(\tilde o_{i0}^2X_i^2/2)^4$ belong to $2L_{\bar B}+4I_{\bar B}$.

It remains to note that

$$g+g^2\equiv\sum_i(o_i+\tilde o_i)B_i^2+\sum_{t,s}(o_{t0}'+o_{t1}'+D_t)d_{it}\tilde\eta_s\widetilde{R}_s\equiv-\sum_s D_s^2\widetilde{R}_s$$

modulo $2I_{\bar B}^{loc}$ and $l_{LT}(2g)\equiv 2(g+g^2)\operatorname{mod}4I_{\bar B}^{loc}$. □

3.9. **Special element $h\in I_{\bar B}$ and its properties.** With above notation set

$$h=[\tilde f]-\sum_{i,l}[o_{il}'X_i].$$

Note that $[2](h)=[2](f)-[2](f_0)-\sum_{i,l}[2](o_{il}'X_i)\in I_{B'}$ and, therefore, $l_{LT}(h)=(1/2)l_{LT}([2]h)\in I_{B'}\otimes_O K$. Then by Proposition 3.10

$$l_{LT}(h)\equiv\tilde\eta' l_0\operatorname{mod}2(\tilde\eta I_{B'})^{loc},$$

where $l_0=\sum_i o_i'X_i$, all coefficients $o_i'\in O'$ and it holds

$$\tilde\eta' o_i' \equiv -\sum_l o_{il}' \operatorname{mod}\tilde\eta O'. \tag{3.7}$$

In addition, if $\tilde\eta\in O_0^*$ then $l_0\in I_{B'}^{loc}$.

Proposition 3.11. a) $[2](h)\equiv 2\tilde\eta' l_0\operatorname{mod}2(\tilde\eta I_{B'})^{loc}$; b) $\delta_{LT}(h)\in\tilde\eta'\widetilde{J}_{B'}$.

Proof. a) By the Main Lemma, $h \in I_{B'}(2)^{loc}$ and, therefore, we have $[2](h) \in 2I_{B'}(2)^{loc} + \big(I_{B'}(2)^{loc}\big)^2$, cf. Subsection 2.2.3. The standard DP-structure on this ideal is topologically nilpotent and $2(\tilde\eta' I_{B'})^{loc}$ and $4(\tilde\eta I_{B'})^{loc}$ are its DP-subideals. Therefore, the congruence $l_{LT}([2]h) \equiv 2\tilde\eta' l_0 \bmod 4(\tilde\eta I_{B'})^{loc}$ implies that $[2](h) \in 2(\tilde\eta' I_{B'})^{loc}$. If $[2](h) = 2A$ with $A \in (\tilde\eta' I_{B'})^{loc}$ then $l_{LT}([2](h)) \equiv 2A \bmod 2(\tilde\eta I_{B'})^{loc}$ and, therefore, $A \equiv \tilde\eta' l_0 \bmod (\tilde\eta I_{B'})^{loc}$.

b) Similarly, the Main Lemma implies that

$$\delta_{LT}(h) \in I_{B'}(2)^{loc} \otimes I_{B'}(2)^{loc} \subset \widetilde{J}_{B'\otimes B'}.$$

Again this ideal has topologically nilpotent DP-structure and proceeding as in the above a) we obtain $l_{LT}(\delta_{LT}(h)) = \delta^+ l_{LT}(h) \equiv \tilde\eta' \delta^+ l_0 \equiv 0 \bmod \tilde\eta' \widetilde{J}_{B'}$ and $\delta_{LT}(h) \in \tilde\eta' \widetilde{J}_{B'}$. ☐

Corollary 3.12. *For* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$, $\left(\sum_l o'^2_{il}\right) \eta_i \in \tilde\eta^2 O_0 \bmod 2\tilde\eta O$.

Proof. We know that $[2](f) \in \tilde\eta^2 I_{B_0}$. On the other hand,

$$[2](f) = [2](f_0) + [2](h) + \sum_{i,l} [2](o'_{il} X_i),$$

where $f_0 \in \tilde\eta I_B$. Note that:

- $[2](f_0) \equiv f_0^2 \bmod 2\tilde\eta I_B$ implies that $[2](f_0) \in \tilde\eta^2 I_{B_0} \bmod 2\tilde\eta I_B$;
- $[2](o'_{il} X_i) \equiv [o'^2_{il} X_i^2] + [2o'_{il} X_i] \bmod 2\tilde\eta I_B$ (use that all $o'^2_{il} \in \tilde\eta O$);
- $[2](h) \equiv -\sum_{i,l} [2o'_{il} X_i] \bmod 2\tilde\eta I_B$ by the above proposition.

So,

$$\sum_{i,l} [o'^2_{il} X_i^2] \in \tilde\eta^2 I_{B_0} \bmod 2\tilde\eta I_B. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that for all i,

- $o'^2_{i0}, o'^2_{i2} \in \tilde\eta O_0 \bmod 2\tilde\eta O$;
- $o'^2_{i1}, o'^2_{i3} \in \pi\tilde\eta O_0 \bmod 2\tilde\eta O$;
- $X_i^2 \in \eta_i I_{B_0} + 2I_B$.

This implies that:

$$\sum_i \left([o'^2_{i0} X_i^2] + [o'^2_{i2} X_i^2]\right) \equiv \sum_i \left(o'^2_{i0} + o'^2_{i2}\right) X_i^2 \bmod \tilde\eta^2 I_{B_0} + 2\tilde\eta I_B$$

$$\sum_i \left([o'^2_{i1} X_i^2] + [o'^2_{i3} X_i^2]\right) \equiv \sum_i \left(o'^2_{i1} + o'^2_{i3}\right) X_i^2 \bmod \tilde\eta^2 I_{B_0} + 2\tilde\eta I_B$$

Clearly, $\sum_i \left(o'^2_{i0} + o'^2_{i2}\right) X_i^2 \in \tilde\eta I_{B_0} + 2\tilde\eta I_B$ and $\sum_i \left(o'^2_{i0} + o'^2_{i2}\right) X_i^2 \in \pi\tilde\eta I_{B_0} + 2\tilde\eta I_B$. Therefore, (3.8) implies that for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant u$, $(o'^2_{i0} + o'^2_{i2})\eta_i \in \tilde\eta O_0 + 2\tilde\eta O$ and $(o'^2_{i1} + o'^2_{i3})\eta_i \in 2\tilde\eta O$. This proves the proposition.

☐

3.10. **Introducing $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}(G)\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$.** Choose a rings identification $\kappa_{S'O'}: S' \operatorname{mod} t^e \longrightarrow O' \operatorname{mod} 2$ such that $\kappa_{SO}|_{S \operatorname{mod} t^e}=\kappa_{SO}$. Consider $l_0=\sum_i o_i'X_i\in L_{B'}$ from Subsection 3.9 and set

$$l_1=\sum_i \tilde{o}_i'X_i\equiv l_0+\tilde{\eta}'l_0^2+\dots+\tilde{\eta}'^{2^n-1}l_0^{2^n}+\dots \operatorname{mod} \widetilde{J}_{B'}$$

Let $\tilde{s}',\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_u\in S'$ be such that $\kappa_{S'O'}(\tilde{s}' \operatorname{mod} t^e)=\tilde{\eta}' \operatorname{mod} 2$ and $\kappa_{S'O'}(\alpha_i \operatorname{mod} t^e)=\tilde{o}_i' \operatorname{mod} 2$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant u$.

Introduce $\mathcal{M}'=\mathcal{M}'(G)=(M'^0,M'^1,\varphi_1')\supset\mathcal{N}':=\mathcal{N}\otimes_S S'$ such that $M'^0=m'S'\oplus(N^0\otimes_S S')$, $M'^1=m'^1S'+(N^1\otimes_S S')\subset M'^0$ with $m'^1=\tilde{s}'m'+\sum_i\alpha_in_i$, and $\varphi_1'(m'^1)=m'$.

Proposition 3.13. a) *$\mathcal{M}'\in\mathrm{MF}_{S'}^{2e}$;*

b) *there is $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}(G)\in\mathrm{MF}_S^e$ such that $\mathcal{M}'=\mathcal{M}\otimes_S S'$.*

Proof. a) $\mathcal{M}'\in\mathrm{MF}_{S'}^{2e}$ means that $t^em'\in M'^1$, i.e. for $1\leqslant i\leqslant u$, it holds $t^e\tilde{s}^{-1}\alpha_i\equiv 0 \operatorname{mod} \tilde{s}_i$ or, equivalently,

$$(t^e\tilde{s}_i^{-1})\alpha_i\equiv 0 \operatorname{mod} \tilde{s}.$$

Using (3.7) and the identification $\kappa_{S'O'}$ we can rewrite these congruences in the form $\left(\sum_l o_{il}'\right)\eta_i'\equiv 0 \operatorname{mod} \tilde{\eta}$. Obviously, these conditions follow from Corollary 3.12.

b) The general criterion of the existence of the descent $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{M}'$ to S from Proposition 1.3 can be specified in our case in the following form: for all $1\leqslant i\leqslant u$, $\alpha_i\in S \operatorname{mod} \tilde{s}_iS'$.

Using the identification $\kappa_{S'O'}$ we rewrite these conditions in the form $\tilde{o}_i' \operatorname{mod} \tilde{\eta}_i'\in O \operatorname{mod} \tilde{\eta}'$. Using (3.7) we can replace them by $\sum_l o_{il}'\in O \operatorname{mod} \tilde{\eta}_i'\tilde{\eta}'$, then by $\sum_l o_{il}'^2\in O_0 \operatorname{mod} \tilde{\eta}_i\tilde{\eta}$ and finally by $\left(\sum_l o_{il}'^2\right)\eta_i\in O_0 \operatorname{mod} 2\tilde{\eta}O$. But this follows from the statement of Corollary 3.12. □

3.11. **Construction of an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_O(\mathcal{M})\simeq G$.** We know that $G=\mathrm{Spec}A$, where $A=B[X]$, $[2](\tilde{\eta}X)=[2](f)\in\tilde{\eta}^2I_{B_0}\subset\tilde{\eta}^2I_B$ and $\delta_{LT}(\tilde{\eta}X)=\delta_{LT}(f)\in\tilde{\eta}I_{B_0\otimes B_0}\subset\tilde{\eta}I_{B\otimes B}$.

Let $A'=A\otimes_O O'$ and let $Z\in I_{A'}$ be such that $\tilde{\eta}'Z=[\tilde{\eta}X]-\sum_{i,l}[o_{il}'X_i]$. Note that

$$Z+\tilde{\eta}'^{-1}\sum_{i,l}o_{il}'X_i\equiv Z-l_0\equiv 0 \operatorname{mod} \tilde{\eta}'I_{A'} \tag{3.9}$$

Proposition 3.14. a) *$\delta^+Z\in J_{A'}$;*
b)*$Z\in I_{A'}(2)$;*
c)*$\tilde{\eta}'(Z^2/2)\equiv Z+l_1 \operatorname{mod} J_{A'}$.*

Proof. a) By proposition 3.11b), $\delta_{LT}(\tilde{\eta}'Z)=\delta_{LT}(h)\in\tilde{\eta}'J_{B'}$. Therefore, we must prove that

$$[\tilde{\eta}'Z\otimes 1]+[1\otimes\tilde{\eta}'Z]\equiv[\tilde{\eta}'(Z\otimes 1+1\otimes Z)] \operatorname{mod} \tilde{\eta}'J_{A'}$$

and this is equivalent to saying that $\tilde{\eta}'Z\otimes Z\in J_{A'}$. But $[2](\tilde{\eta}'Z)=[2](h)\in 2(\tilde{\eta}'I_{B'})^{loc}$ and this implies that $(\tilde{\eta}'Z)^2\in 2\tilde{\eta}'I_{A'}$, i.e. $\tilde{\eta}'^{1/2}Z\in I_{A'}(2)$ and $\tilde{\eta}'Z\otimes Z=(\tilde{\eta}'^{1/2}Z)\otimes(\tilde{\eta}'^{1/2}Z)\in I_{A'\otimes A'}(2)^2\subset J_{A'}$.

b) Use that $l_{LT}(\tilde{\eta}'Z)=l_{LT}(h)$ and, therefore,

$$Z+\tilde{\eta}'(Z^2/2)+\tilde{\eta}'^3(Z^2/2)^2\equiv l_0 \bmod 2\tilde{\eta}'I_{B'} \tag{3.10}$$

By the above proof of the part a), $\tilde{\eta}'(Z^2/2)\in I_{A'}$ and the part b) is proved in the case $\tilde{\eta}\in O_0^*$. If $\tilde{\eta}\notin O_0^*$ then 3.10 implies that

$$\tilde{\eta}'\frac{Z^2}{2}\left(1+\tilde{\eta}\frac{Z^2}{2}\right)\in\tilde{\eta}'I_{A'}$$

and $\tilde{\eta}'(Z^2/2)\in\tilde{\eta}'I_{A'}$.

c) Iterating (3.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\eta}'(Z^2/2)&\equiv Z+l_0+\tilde{\eta}'(\tilde{\eta}'Z^2/2)^2\equiv Z+l_0+\tilde{\eta}'l_0^2+\tilde{\eta}'^3(\tilde{\eta}'Z^2/2)^4\\ &\equiv Z+l_0+\tilde{\eta}'l_0^2+\cdots+\tilde{\eta}'^{2^n-1}l_0^{2^n}+\cdots\equiv Z+l_1 \bmod J_{A'}.\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. ☐

By above Proposition 3.14 the correspondences $m'\mapsto Z^2/2 \bmod J_{A'}$ and $m'^1\mapsto Z \bmod J_{A'}$ give a map of filtered modules $\mathcal{M}'\longrightarrow\iota(A')$. Applying the results of Subsections 1.6-1.8 we obtain a morphism of coalgebras $\Pi':A(\mathcal{G}_{O'}(\mathcal{M}'))\longrightarrow A'$. The both coalgebras contain $B'=B\otimes_O O'$ and $\Pi'|_{B'}$ is isomorphism. Similarly, the both coalgebras have a quotient coalgebra $A(\mu_\eta)\otimes O'$ and Π' induces on them isomorphism of coalgebras as well. This implies that Π' is the isomorphism of coalgebras and Theorem 3.1 is completely proved.

References

[1] V. Abrashkin *Group schemes of period* $p>2$, Proc. London Math. Soc. **101** (2010) no. 3, 207-259

[2] C. Breuil, *Groupes p-divisibles, groupes finis et modules filtrés*, Ann. of Math. **152** 2000 no. 2, 489-549

[3] W. Kim *The classification of p-divisible groups over 2-adic discrete valuation rings*, arXiv:1007.1904

[4] M. Kisin *Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti-Tate representations*, Inv. Math. **178** (2009), 587-634

[5] M. Kisin *Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity*, Ann. of Math. **170** (2009), 1085-1180

[6] E. Lau, *A relation between crystalline Dieudonné theory and Dieudonné displays*, arXiv:1006.2720

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Science Laboratories, South Rd, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom

E-mail address: `victor.abrashkin@durham.ac.uk`